## Hendriks, Lieke

## Using Small Clauses to Solve the Split DP Paradox

Split DPs as in (1) are constructions in which a constituent appears to have been broken up into two parts: a topicalized part (TOP) and a remnant (REM).

(1) Franse boeken heeft hij twee in de kast.

French books has he two in the cupboard

'As for French books, he has two in the cupboard.' (SoDNL)

Split DPs seem to be a syntactic anomaly due to their paradoxical properties suggesting the DP split and unsplit at the same time. Unsplit here means there is no split at all: two autonomous DPs rather than one DP. On the one hand, split DPs are sensitive to islands and connectivity effects thereby indicating movement and a split (e.g., Van Hoof 1997). On the other hand, there are cases in which TOP and REM cannot be reconstructed into one underlying constituent due to REM containing for example a noun (e.g., Fanselow 1988; Van Riemsdijk 1989) thereby indicating separate base-generation and no split.

Various attempts have been made to solve the split DP paradox. Previous analyses include subextraction (Tappe 1989; Van Riemsdijk 1989), Distributed Deletion (Fanselow & Ćavar 2002), combinations thereof (Fanselow 1988; Nakanishi 2005; Puig-Waldmüller 2006), and more recently an analysis on Labeling Theory (Ott 2011). Drawing on the most important insights of these theories, I propose a more powerful approach to analyze split DPs: a Relator Phrase (i.e., small clauses, cf. Den Dikken 2006) posited within the DP. The RP posits TOP and REM in a predication configuration, in which TOP functions as a predicate because it has a strict kind-denoting property, and REM functions as the subject to this predicate.

(2) [DP [QP [NumP [RP [nP REM] [R' R [nP TOP]]]]]]

Ultimately, properties of movement are captured, because TOP is base-generated inside the DP in the verbal domain, and it has to obligatorily move out. Properties of separate base-generation are captured, because TOP and REM cover two different noun slots (i.e., there are two nPs). The actual split is due to the Relator endowed with a [+Link]-feature which requires its complement to move due to its topicality status (following McNay 2005; 2007).