Spatially correlated categorical time series: A case study in forest health

Thomas Kneib & Ludwig Fahrmeir Department of Statistics Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich

- 1. Survey and data
- 2. Regression models for ordinal responses
- 3. Geoadditive mixed models
- 4. Mixed model based inference
- 5. Software
- 6. Results





Survey and Data

- Aim of the study: Identify factors influencing the health status of trees.
- Database: Yearly visual forest health inventories carried out from 1983 to 2004 in a northern Bavarian forest district.
- 83 observation plots of beeches within a 15 km times 10 km area.
- Response: defoliation degree at plot *i* in year *t*, measured in three ordered categories:
 - $y_{it} = 1$ no defoliation, $y_{it} = 2$ defoliation 25% or less,
 - $y_{it} = 3$ defoliation above 25%.



• Covariates:

Continuous:	average age of trees at the observation plot elevation above sea level in meters inclination of slope in percent depth of soil layer in centimeters pH-value in 0-2cm depth density of forest canopy in percent
Categorical	thickness of humus layer in 5 ordered categories level of soil moisture base saturation in 4 ordered categories
Binary	type of stand application of fertilisation



Spatially correlated categorical time series: A case study in forest health





- We need a model that can simultaneously deal with the following issues:
 - A spatially aligned set of time series.

 \Rightarrow Both spatial and temporal correlations have to be considered.

- Decide whether unobserved heterogeneity is spatially structured or not.
- Non-linear effects of continuous covariates (e.g. age).
- A possibly time-varying effect of age (i.e. an interaction between age and calendar time).
- A categorical response variable.

Regression models for ordinal responses

- Defoliation degree is measured in three ordered categories.
- Derive regression models for ordinal responses based on latent variables:

$$D = x'\beta + \varepsilon.$$

- *D* can be considered an unobserved, continuous measure of defoliation.
- Link D to the categorical response Y based on ordered thresholds

$$-\infty = \theta^{(0)} < \theta^{(1)} < \theta^{(2)} < \theta^{(3)} = \infty$$

via

$$Y = r \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \theta^{(r-1)} < D \le \theta^{(r)}.$$

• Defines cumulative probabilities in terms of the cdf F of the latent error term ε :

$$P(Y \le r) = P(D \le \theta^{(r)}) = P(x'\beta + \varepsilon \le \theta^{(r)}) = F(\theta^{(r)} - x'\beta).$$

• Intuitive interpretation:



• The thresholds slice the density f = F'.

- Three main concepts to account for the longitudinal structure:
 - Marginal models (define working correlations, short time series),
 - Autoregressive models (include lagged response variables as predictors, prediction),
 - Models with random effects

$$D_{it} = x'_{it}\beta + z'_{it}b_i + \varepsilon_{it}.$$

- In the forest health example:
 - Relatively long series.
 - Interest is on modelling the marginal expectation, not the conditional expectation.
 - In addition: spatial correlations, non-linear trends, further non-linear effects.
- \Rightarrow Extend mixed models to geoadditive mixed models.

Geoadditive mixed models

- Suitable model in our application:
 - $\begin{array}{lll} D_{it} &=& f_1(age_{it}) & \mbox{nonlinear effects of age,} \\ &+f_2(inc_i) & \mbox{inclination of slope, and} \\ &+f_3(can_{it}) & \mbox{canopy density.} \\ &+f_{time}(t) & \mbox{nonlinear time trend.} \\ &+f_4(t,age_{it}) & \mbox{interaction between age and calendar time.} \\ &+f_{spat}(s_i) & \mbox{structured and} \\ &+b_i & \mbox{unstructured spatial random effects.} \\ &+x'_{it}\gamma & \mbox{usual parametric effects.} \end{array}$
 - $+\varepsilon_{it}$ error term.

- Penalised splines: Nonlinear covariate effects, nonlinear time trends.
 - Approximate f(x) by a weighted sum of B-spline basis functions.
 - Employ a large number of basis functions to enable flexibility.
 - Penalise differences between parameters of adjacent basis functions to ensure smoothness.



- Bivariate penalised splines: Interaction surfaces, structured spatial effect.
 - Bivariate basis functions based on tensor product B-splines.
 - Extend penalisation to neighbours on a grid.



- Markov random fields: Structured spatial effect.
 - Bivariate extension of a first order random walk on the real line.
 - Define two observation plots as neighbours if their distance is less than 1.2km.
 - Assume that the expected value of $f_{spat}(s)$ is the average of the function evaluations of adjacent sites.



- Stationary Gaussian random fields: Structured spatial effect.
 - Well-known as Kriging in the geostatistics literature.
 - Spatial effect follows a zero mean stationary Gaussian stochastic process.
 - Correlation of two arbitrary sites is defined by an intrinsic correlation function.



Mixed model based inference

• Each term in the predictor is associated with a vector of regression coefficients with improper multivariate Gaussian prior:

$$p(\beta_j | \tau_j^2) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_j^2}\beta_j' K_j \beta_j\right)$$

- \Rightarrow Reparametrize the model to a proper mixed model.
 - Obtain empirical Bayes estimates via iterating
 - Penalized maximum likelihood for regression coefficients.
 - Restricted Maximum / Marginal likelihood for variance parameters.

Software

• Implemented in the software package BayesX.



• Available from

http://www.stat.uni-muenchen.de/~bayesx

Results







1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5 -1.0 1.5 200 150 2000			
^{age} in _{Vears} 100 50 1995 calendar time variable	\hat{eta}_i	std. dev.	p-value
ph	-0.037	0.212	0.860
humus 0-1cm	-0.261	0.108	0.015
humus 1-2cm	-0.135		
humus 2-3cm	0.139	0.086	0.105
humus 3-4cm	0 135	0 102	0 185
	0.155	0.102	0.100
humus >4cm	0.133	0.102	0.391
humus >4cm moderately dry	0.122 -0.597	0.102 0.142 0.320	0.391 0.061
humus >4cm moderately dry moderately moist	0.122 -0.597 0.185	0.102 0.142 0.320	0.391 0.061

• Limitation of the model: All effects are globally defined



• Possible refinement: Category-specific trends

$$P(Y_{it} \le r) = \Phi\left[\theta^{(r)} - \dots - f^{(r)}_{time}(t) - \dots\right]$$



• More complicated constraints:

$$\theta^{(1)} - f^{(1)}_{time}(t) < \theta^{(2)} - f^{(2)}_{time}(t)$$
 for all t .

Conclusions

- Inclusion of any kind of spatial effect leads to a dramatically improved model fit.
- The unstructured part dominates the structured spatial effect.
- Nonparametric effects allow for more realistic models.
- Category-specific effects give additional insight but may require a larger database.

References

- Kneib, T. & Fahrmeir, L. (2006): Structured additive regression for categorical space-time data: A mixed model approach. *Biometrics*, to appear.
- Kneib, T. & Fahrmeir, L. (2007): A Space-Time Study on Forest Health. In: Chandler, R. E. & Scott, M. (eds.): Statistical Methods for Trend Detection and Analysis in the Environmental Sciences, Wiley.
- A place called home:

http://www.stat.uni-muenchen.de/~kneib