

Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change

Emissions pricing in Low- and Middle Income Countries

How to make it work? Jan Steckel

3D Seminar

December 17, 2020

Setting the scene

- LMIC already emit more than 60% of global emissions, 80% of committed emissions
- Energy demand in LMIC will increase
- Global coal investments still increase
- Existing and plants under construction and planned are incompatible with international ⁸/₉ global targets
- Climate policy in Low Middle Income Countries will need to avoid lock-ins as much as it will need to reduce existing emissions.
- Currently: Incentive structure is upside down.
 Globally on average CO2 is subsidized by USD 150 per tonne (including externalities)

→ Without changing this, climate policy will remain an uphill battle!



How do we make it work?

- Inertia to energy system transformation can be expected due to political resistance:
 - Broad-based resistance, e.g. to rising energy prices
 - Immediate price increases can lead to large protests that have the power to stop the reform
- Interests groups that lose from policy reforms can be expected to lobby against it (Arent et al. 2017; Trebilock 2014; Sovacool et al. 2016; Jakob et al. 2020)
 - Energy users
 - Workers
 - Fossil fuel owners
 - Industry
 - Specific regions

• ...





Indonesia, 2012



Ecuador, 2019



Iran, 2019



Nigeria, 2020 (latest)

How do we make it work?

- Distributional effects: Who is affected?
- Compensation schemes: How to use revenues?
- How to ensure effectiveness?
- How to support internationally?



Understanding distributional effects

Country groups: Upper-middle Lower-middle Low Policies: Subsidy Transport Economic effects: Indirect effects Behavioural effects

General equilibrium

Lifetime income Context: Publication Type

Publication Year



Empirical analysis based on World Bank Global Consumption Database, covering 87 countries Meta analysis of existing literature covering 39 countries

Key result: Carbon pricing more progressive in poorer countries **Key mechanism**: Differences in energy expenditures drive result

But: Horizontal effects, Poverty implications & Development effects

Chart 2

Raising revenue

Carbon taxes could raise a significant amount of revenue, which could be used to lower other taxes or fund green initiatives and other productive investments.



- Comes against the background of LMICs struggle to tax (e.g. due to informal economy)
- Higher revenue could help offset of higher energy prices
- Higher revenues might be used for lowering distortionary taxes, funding public investment
- Governments could use the money to support disproportionately affected workers and communities as well as industries
- Many governments can use their established transfers schemes

Note: G20 = Group of Twenty.

Capital costs can render carbon prices ineffective



Note: The underlying model calculation (Hirth and Steckel 2016) is calibrated for Shandong province in China

Contour lines show the expected share of renewable energies given a certain CO₂ price and certain capital costs (WACC)

Vertical lines show the average cost of capital for investments in renewables in selected countries and regions

Cost of capital affects the effectiveness of a CO_2 price!

International support for expanding climate policies



Summary

- Carbon pricing in LMICs can be justified from a climate and an economic / fiscal perspective
- Carbon pricing in LMICs is most likely progressive, but revenue recycling is still necessary to protect vulnerable groups of the society
- Additional policies are likely needed to increase the political and societal acceptability as well as the effectiveness of a carbon price
- International support to implement policies, rather than projects





Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change

steckel@mcc-berlin.net

@jan_c_steckel

Backup