

Federal Ministry of Education

and Research







reduced tillage effects on soil organic matter and greenhouse gas emissions under ambient and reduced rain conditions Project Project

twitter



Authors: Antonios Apostolakis, Paulina Englert and Ana Meijide. University of Göttingen, Germany

TRUESOIL Project:

1. Introduction

Reduced tillage (RT) is a widely applied practice often found to increase organic carbon (OC) sequestration in the topsoil compared to **conventional tillage (CT)**. However, it is unclear how reduced tillage and the associated organic matter might affect greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, especially under a changing climate.



Fig 1. Soil bulk density (a), organic carbon (b) and carbon to nitrogen ratio (c) over depth. Asterisks indicate significant differences. Sample size n =6 for BD and 8 for OC & C:N.

2. Design

Garte-Süd is a **field** trial comparing CT and RT in a Luvisol (silt =73%, clay =15%, pH =6.6) in central Germany (MAP =618 mm, MAT =9.5°C) running since **1970**. In 2023, we installed rainout shelters $(2 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ m})$ designed to intercept 50% of precipitation. We measure soil CO₂ efflux & N₂O fluxes with static chambers and portable gas analyzers.









3. Preliminary results

- soil bulk density was lower under RT than CT in the top 10 cm (Fig 1a)
- soil OC did not differ between CT and RT in 30 cm intervals (Fig 1b), but it was higher under RT in the top 10 cm
- soil water content was higher under RT than under CT (Fig 2a)
- N_2O flux was higher under RT than under CT, even though not significantly so (Fig 2d)
- N_2O flux was lower under 50% than 100% rainfall irrespective of tillage intensity (Fig 2d)
- CO₂ efflux was lower under RT than under CT for 100% rainfall conditions (Fig 2f)
- crop yield is often higher under CT than RT (Fig 3) – no evidence of crop or time effect



yield under reduced tillage over time in Garte-Süd.

Fig 2. Vol. soil water content (a, b), soil N₂O fluxes (c, d) and soil CO₂ effluxes (e, f) for tillage (conventional vs reduced) and rain (100% vs 50%) treatments shown over time and as cumulative (with trapezoid method) fluxes or percentiles for SWC. Asterisks indicate differences according to ANOVA test. Sample size n =4.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Christiane Münter and Gabi Kolle for their support with field and lab work. Disclaimer: This poster shows work in progress. Final results might differ from those presented here. References: Jacobs et al., 2010 (DOI 10.1007/s00374-010-0472-x)