#### Clifford-Fourier transforms and wavelets

#### Jeff Hogan University of Newcastle and CARMA

with David Franklin (U. Newcastle) Andrew Morris (U. Newcastle) Kieran Larkin (U. Newcastle and Nontrivialzeros Research) Mark Craddock (University of Technology Sydney)

> Universität Göttingen 15 November 2016

> > ▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

One-dimensional harmonic analysis

#### Single-channel signals

$$f: I \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

(e.g., audio signals) have been successfully treated using the tools of harmonic and complex analysis:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Fourier transform: 
$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt$$

One-dimensional harmonic analysis

#### Single-channel signals

$$f: I \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

(e.g., audio signals) have been successfully treated using the tools of harmonic and complex analysis:

- Fourier transform:  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt$
- Filtering/convolution:  $f * g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s)g(t-s) ds$
- Convolution theorem:  $\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\xi)$

One-dimensional harmonic analysis

#### Single-channel signals

$$f: I \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

(e.g., audio signals) have been successfully treated using the tools of harmonic and complex analysis:

- Fourier transform:  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt$
- Filtering/convolution:  $f * g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s)g(t-s) ds$
- Convolution theorem:  $\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)$
- Short-time Fourier transform, continuous and discrete wavelet transform, etc.

• Cauchy integrals: 
$$f \in L^2 \mapsto Cf(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x-z} dx$$

• Cauchy integrals: 
$$f \in L^2 \mapsto Cf(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x-z} dx$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

• Projections:  $P^{\pm}f(x) = \lim_{y \to 0^+} Cf(x \pm iy)$ 

• 
$$\widehat{P^+f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) \widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(\xi)$$

• Cauchy integrals: 
$$f \in L^2 \mapsto Cf(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x-z} dx$$
  
• Projections:  $P^{\pm}f(x) = \lim_{y\to 0^+} Cf(x \pm iy)$   
•  $\widehat{P^+f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) \widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(\xi)$   
•  $P^{\pm}$  bounded projections:  $\left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) \right]^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right)$   
•  $P^{\pm}$  orthogonal projections:  $\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) = 0$   
Hardy spaces:  $L^2 = H^2_+ \oplus H^2_-$  where  $H^2_{\pm} = P^{\pm}(L^2)$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

• Singular integrals:  $P^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(I + i\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}f(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{|x-y| > \epsilon} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt$ 

• Singular integrals:  $P^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(I + i\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}f(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int \frac{f(t)}{dt} dt$ 

$$\mathcal{H}f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt$$

• Analytic signal:

$$f_a(t) = f(t) + i\mathcal{H}f(t) = |f_a(t)|e^{i\theta(t)} = 2P^+f(t)$$

Local amplitude  $|f_a(t)|$ ; local phase  $\theta(t)$ 

Example:  $f(t) = e^{-\pi t^2} \cos t \longrightarrow f_a(t) = e^{-\pi t^2} e^{it}$ Local amplitude  $e^{-\pi t^2}$ ; Local phase  $\theta(t) = t$ .

### Multichannel signals

Our treatment of signals  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is generally ad hoc.

Example: n = 2, m = 1: grayscale images

Tensor product constructions – Fourier analysis (convolution theorem etc) ok, but complex analysis not so good:

$$\hat{f}(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_1 f(\xi_1,\xi_2)$$
$$\mathcal{H}f(x_1,x_2) = \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{H}_1 f(x_1,x_2)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Multichannel signals

Our treatment of signals  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is generally ad hoc.

Example: n = 2, m = 1: grayscale images

Tensor product constructions – Fourier analysis (convolution theorem etc) ok, but complex analysis not so good:

$$\hat{f}(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_1 f(\xi_1,\xi_2)$$
$$\mathcal{H}f(x_1,x_2) = \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{H}_1 f(x_1,x_2)$$

Example: n = 2, m = 3: colour images

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}))$$

Even Fourier analysis breaks down here:

• 
$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = (\hat{R}(\mathbf{y}), \hat{G}(\mathbf{y}), \hat{B}(\mathbf{y}))$$

•  $f * g(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} f(\mathbf{t}) g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t}$  not defined

# Wish list

#### We want to

- Inject multichannel signals into an algebra that allows products of functions;
- With this algebraic structure, define a Fourier-type transform which maintains the useful covariances of the classical Fourier transform
- Build signal analysis and processing tools (wavelets etc) around the Fourier transform
- Build signal analytic tools analogous to the analytic signal for extracting local amplitude and phase information



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − 釣へで

•  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_d\}$  an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Imbed  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into a  $2^d$ -dimensional associative Clifford algebra  $\mathbb{R}_d$ 

- $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_d\}$  an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Imbed  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into a  $2^d$ -dimensional associative Clifford algebra  $\mathbb{R}_d$
- Basis for  $\mathbb{R}_d$  is  $\{e_A; A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}\}$

$$e_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_\ell\}} = e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_\ell}$$
  
 $e_{\emptyset} = e_0 = 1$  (identity),  $e_j^2 = -1$   
 $e_j e_k = -e_k e_j$  ( $j \neq k$ ,  $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ )

- $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_d\}$  an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Imbed  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into a  $2^d$ -dimensional associative Clifford algebra  $\mathbb{R}_d$
- Basis for  $\mathbb{R}_d$  is  $\{e_A; A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}\}$

$$e_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_\ell\}} = e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_\ell}$$
  
 $e_{\emptyset} = e_0 = 1 \quad ( ext{identity}), \quad e_j^2 = -1$   
 $e_j e_k = -e_k e_j \quad (j \neq k, \quad j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\})$ 

•  $\mathbb{R}_d = \{\sum_A x_A e_A; x_A \in \mathbb{R}\} = \Lambda_0 \oplus \Lambda_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda_d = \Lambda_e \oplus \Lambda_o$ •  $\mathbb{C}_d = \{\sum_A z_A e_A; z_A \in \mathbb{C}\}$ 

- $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_d\}$  an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Imbed  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into a  $2^d$ -dimensional associative Clifford algebra  $\mathbb{R}_d$
- Basis for  $\mathbb{R}_d$  is  $\{e_A; A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}\}$

$$e_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_\ell\}} = e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_\ell}$$
  
 $e_{\emptyset} = e_0 = 1 \quad ( ext{identity}), \quad e_j^2 = -1$   
 $e_j e_k = -e_k e_j \quad (j \neq k, \quad j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\})$ 

• 
$$\mathbb{R}_d = \{\sum_A x_A e_A; x_A \in \mathbb{R}\} = \Lambda_0 \oplus \Lambda_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda_d = \Lambda_e \oplus \Lambda_o$$
  
•  $\mathbb{C}_d = \{\sum_A z_A e_A; z_A \in \mathbb{C}\}$   
• If  $x \sum_{j=1}^d x_j e_j$ ,  $y = \sum_{j=1}^d y_j e_j$  are vectors, then  
 $x^2 = -|x|^2$  and  $xy = -\langle x, y \rangle + x \wedge y \in \Lambda_0 \oplus \Lambda_2$ 

### Examples

- m = 1, basis  $\{e_0, e_1\}$ , elements  $u = a_0 + a_1e_1$ ,  $v = b_0 + b_1e_1$
- multiplication:  $uv = a_0b_0 a_1b_1 + (a_1b_0 + b_0a_1)e_1$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}_1 = \mathbb{C}$

### Examples

- m = 1, basis  $\{e_0, e_1\}$ , elements  $u = a_0 + a_1e_1$ ,  $v = b_0 + b_1e_1$
- multiplication:  $uv = a_0b_0 a_1b_1 + (a_1b_0 + b_0a_1)e_1$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}_1 = \mathbb{C}$
- m = 2, basis  $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 = e_{12} = e_1e_2\}$ , elements  $q = a + be_1 + ce_2 + de_3$
- multiplication:

$$e_1^2=e_2^2=e_3^2=-1,\ e_1e_2=e_3,\ e_3e_1=e_2,\ e_2e_3=e_1$$

i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ , the set of quaternions

#### Examples

- m = 1, basis  $\{e_0, e_1\}$ , elements  $u = a_0 + a_1e_1$ ,  $v = b_0 + b_1e_1$
- multiplication:  $uv = a_0b_0 a_1b_1 + (a_1b_0 + b_0a_1)e_1$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}_1 = \mathbb{C}$
- m = 2, basis  $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 = e_{12} = e_1e_2\}$ , elements  $q = a + be_1 + ce_2 + de_3$
- multiplication:

$$e_1^2=e_2^2=e_3^2=-1,\ e_1e_2=e_3,\ e_3e_1=e_2,\ e_2e_3=e_1$$

i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ , the set of quaternions • d = 3, basis  $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{31}, e_{123}\}$ 

• We consider functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , i.e.,  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}_3$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• We consider functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , i.e.,  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}, f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}_3$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• If  $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , then  $Df = \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ 

• We consider functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , i.e.,  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}, f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}_3$ .

• If  $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , then  $Df = \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ 

• If 
$$f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}_d$$
, then  $\partial f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} + \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• We consider functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , i.e.,  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}_3$ .

• If  $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , then  $Df = \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ 

• If  $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , then  $\partial f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} + \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ 

• We say f is (left) monogenic on  $\Omega$  if Df = 0 (or  $\partial f = 0$ )

• We consider functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , i.e.,  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}, f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}_3$ .

• If  $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , then  $Df = \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ 

• If 
$$f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}_d$$
, then  $\partial f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} + \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ 

- We say f is (left) monogenic on  $\Omega$  if Df = 0 (or  $\partial f = 0$ )
- $f: \mathbb{R}^{1+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1 = \mathbb{C}, f(x,y) = u(x,y) + e_1v(x,y)$

$$\partial f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + e_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right) + e_1 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$$

So monogenicity  $\equiv$  complex analyticity

# Why Dirac operators?

• 
$$H, E : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
 vectorfields  
 $H = H_1e_1 + H_2e_2 + H_3e_3; \quad E = E_1e_{23} + E_2e_{31} + E_3e_{12}$   
 $F = H + iE : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}_3$ 

# Why Dirac operators?

• 
$$H, E : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
 vectorfields  
 $H = H_1 e_1 + H_2 e_2 + H_3 e_3; \quad E = E_1 e_{23} + E_2 e_{31} + E_3 e_{12}$   
 $F = H + iE : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}_3$   
• Perturbed Dirac operator:  $(D + \lambda)F = 0 \iff$ 

div 
$$E = \operatorname{div} H = 0$$
, curl  $E - i\lambda H = 0$ , curl  $H + i\lambda E = 0$ 

# Why Dirac operators?

• 
$$H, E : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
 vectorfields  
 $H = H_1e_1 + H_2e_2 + H_3e_3; \quad E = E_1e_{23} + E_2e_{31} + E_3e_{12}$   
 $F = H + iE : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}_3$   
• Perturbed Dirac operator:  $(D + \lambda)F = 0 \iff$ 

 $\operatorname{div} E = \operatorname{div} H = 0, \ \operatorname{curl} E - i\lambda H = 0, \ \operatorname{curl} H + i\lambda E = 0$ 

 Dirac operators factorize the Laplacian and the Helmholtz operator:

$$D^2 = -\Delta;$$
  $(D + ik)(D - ik) = -\Delta^2 + k^2$ 

• Monogenic functions and Dirac operators play a fundamental role in electromagnetic/acoustic scattering theory.

### Hypercomplex function theory

•  $\Omega$  a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz boundary, f left monogenic in  $\Omega$ , n(x) the outward pointing normal to  $\Omega$  at  $x \in \partial \Omega$  and  $G(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^{n+1}}$ . Then G is left and right monogenic on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$\frac{1}{\omega_n}\int_{\partial\Omega}G(x-y)n(y)f(y)\,d\sigma(y)=f(x)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Hypercomplex function theory

•  $\Omega$  a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz boundary, f left monogenic in  $\Omega$ , n(x) the outward pointing normal to  $\Omega$  at  $x \in \partial \Omega$  and  $G(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^{n+1}}$ . Then G is left and right monogenic on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$\frac{1}{\omega_n}\int_{\partial\Omega}G(x-y)n(y)f(y)\,d\sigma(y)=f(x)$$

• Also have analogues of Liouville's theorem, mean-value theorem, Taylor theorem

# Hypercomplex function theory

•  $\Omega$  a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz boundary, f left monogenic in  $\Omega$ , n(x) the outward pointing normal to  $\Omega$  at  $x \in \partial \Omega$  and  $G(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^{n+1}}$ . Then G is left and right monogenic on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$\frac{1}{\omega_n}\int_{\partial\Omega}G(x-y)n(y)f(y)\,d\sigma(y)=f(x)$$

- Also have analogues of Liouville's theorem, mean-value theorem, Taylor theorem
- The product of monogenic functions is in general not monogenic!

# Basic Operators of Clifford Analysis

Angular momentum operators:  $\mathcal{L}_{ij} = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i$   $(1 \le i, j \le d)$ 

Angular Dirac operator:  $\Gamma = -\sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} e_i e_j \mathcal{L}_{ij}$ 

### Basic Operators of Clifford Analysis

Angular momentum operators:  $\mathcal{L}_{ij} = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i$   $(1 \le i, j \le d)$ 

Angular Dirac operator:  $\Gamma = -\sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} e_i e_j \mathcal{L}_{ij}$ 

Position operator:  $Qf(x) = xf(x) \Rightarrow Q^2f(x) = -|x|^2f(x)$ 

Commutation Relation:  $[D, Q] = 2\Gamma - dI$ 

### Basic Operators of Clifford Analysis

Angular momentum operators:  $\mathcal{L}_{ij} = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i$   $(1 \le i, j \le d)$ 

Angular Dirac operator:  $\Gamma = -\sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} e_i e_j \mathcal{L}_{ij}$ 

Position operator:  $Qf(x) = xf(x) \Rightarrow Q^2f(x) = -|x|^2f(x)$ 

Commutation Relation:  $[D, Q] = 2\Gamma - dI$ 

Clifford-Hermite operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{d}^{+} &= (D+Q)(D-Q) = -\Delta + |x|^{2} + \Gamma - dI = \mathcal{H}_{d} + (\Gamma - (d/2)I) \\ \mathcal{H}_{d}^{-} &= (D-Q)(D+Q) = -\Delta + |x|^{2} - \Gamma + dI = \mathcal{H}_{d} - (\Gamma - (d/2)I) \end{aligned}$$

### Quaternionic Fourier transform for colour images

Quaternionic FT's: (Ell, Sangwine,...)

$$\mathcal{F}_1 f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) e^{-2\pi e_1 u_1 x_1} e^{-2\pi e_2 u_2 x_2} dx$$
$$\mathcal{F}_2 f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi e_1 u_1 x_1} f(x) e^{-2\pi e_2 u_2 x_2} dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

### Quaternionic Fourier transform for colour images

Quaternionic FT's: (Ell, Sangwine,...)

$$\mathcal{F}_{1}f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(x)e^{-2\pi e_{1}u_{1}x_{1}}e^{-2\pi e_{2}u_{2}x_{2}} dx$$
$$\mathcal{F}_{2}f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-2\pi e_{1}u_{1}x_{1}}f(x)e^{-2\pi e_{2}u_{2}x_{2}} dx$$

- no convolution theorem
- lacking covariances
#### Fractional Clifford-Fourier transform (frCFT)

Classical fractional Fourier transform (frFT)

$$\mathcal{F}_t f(y) = e^{it\mathcal{H}_d}f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_t(y,x)f(x) \, dx \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

with  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi/2} = \mathcal{F}$  and

$$K_t(x,y) = \sqrt{\frac{-ie^{it} \csc t}{2\pi}} \exp(-i(\csc t)xy + i(\cot t)(|x|^2 + |y|^2)/2).$$

#### Fractional Clifford-Fourier transform (frCFT)

Classical fractional Fourier transform (frFT)

$$\mathcal{F}_t f(y) = e^{it\mathcal{H}_d}f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_t(y,x)f(x) \, dx \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

with  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi/2}=\mathcal{F}$  and

$$K_t(x,y) = \sqrt{\frac{-ie^{it}\csc t}{2\pi}} \exp(-i(\csc t)xy + i(\cot t)(|x|^2 + |y|^2)/2).$$

(CFT): [Brackx, De Schepper, Sommen: JFAA 2005]

$$\mathcal{F}^{\pm} = \exp(-i(\pi/2)\mathcal{H}_d^{\pm})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Fractional Clifford-Fourier transform (frCFT)

Classical fractional Fourier transform (frFT)

$$\mathcal{F}_t f(y) = e^{it\mathcal{H}_d}f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_t(y,x)f(x) \, dx \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

with  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi/2}=\mathcal{F}$  and

$$K_t(x,y) = \sqrt{\frac{-ie^{it}\csc t}{2\pi}} \exp(-i(\csc t)xy + i(\cot t)(|x|^2 + |y|^2)/2).$$

(CFT): [Brackx, De Schepper, Sommen: JFAA 2005]

$$\mathcal{F}^{\pm} = \exp(-i(\pi/2)\mathcal{H}_d^{\pm})$$

More generally:  $\mathcal{F}_t^{\pm} = \exp(-it\mathcal{H}_d^{\pm})$  (frCFT)

# Fractional Clifford-Fourier transform

frCFT kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t^{\pm} &= \exp(-it(\mathcal{H}_d \pm (\Gamma - d/2)) \\ &= \exp(\mp it(\Gamma - d/2))\exp(-it\mathcal{H}_d) \\ &= \exp(\mp it(\Gamma - d/2))\mathcal{F}_t. \end{aligned}$$

$$C_t^{\pm}(x,y) = \exp(\pm itd/2)\exp(\mp it\Gamma_y)K_t(x,y)$$

# Fractional Clifford-Fourier transform

frCFT kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t^{\pm} &= \exp(-it(\mathcal{H}_d \pm (\Gamma - d/2)) \\ &= \exp(\mp it(\Gamma - d/2))\exp(-it\mathcal{H}_d) \\ &= \exp(\mp it(\Gamma - d/2))\mathcal{F}_t. \end{aligned}$$

$$C_t^{\pm}(x,y) = \exp(\pm itd/2)\exp(\mp it\Gamma_y)K_t(x,y)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Note: Terms in  $\Gamma = -\sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} e_i e_j \mathcal{L}_{ij}$  not not commute.

#### Initial value problems

#### Theorem (Craddock, H. (JFAA 2013))

f is scalar-valued then  $\exp(it\Gamma)f(x) = u(x, t) + \Gamma w(x, t)$  with u, w scalar-valued satisfying the initial value problems

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + i(d-2)\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= |x|^2 \Delta_T u \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t > 0) \\ u(x,0) &= f(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} &= 0 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d) \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Mean-value solutions

Theorem (Gonzalez, Zhang (Contemp. Math. 2006)) *d even:* 

$$u(x,t) = c_d \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( -\frac{d}{d(\cos t)} \right)^{(d-4)/2} ((\sin t)^{d-3} M^t f(x)) \right]$$

d odd:

$$u(x,t) = c_d \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{\left[ \left( -\frac{d}{d(\cos s)} \right)^{(d-3)/2} (\sin s)^{d-3} M^s f(x) \right]}{\sqrt{\cos s - \cos t}} \sin s \, ds$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

### Mean-value solutions

Theorem (Gonzalez, Zhang (Contemp. Math. 2006)) *d even:* 

$$u(x,t) = c_d \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( -\frac{d}{d(\cos t)} \right)^{(d-4)/2} ((\sin t)^{d-3} M^t f(x)) \right]$$

d odd:

$$u(x,t) = c_d \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{\left[ \left( -\frac{d}{d(\cos s)} \right)^{(d-3)/2} (\sin s)^{d-3} M^s f(x) \right]}{\sqrt{\cos s - \cos t}} \sin s \, ds$$

$$u(x,t) = c \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{M^s f(x)}{\sqrt{\cos s - \cos t}} \sin s \, ds$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### d = 2: frCFT kernel

When d = 2, the IVP simplifies:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} \quad (x = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ t > 0) \\ u(x, 0) &= f(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^2) \\ u_t|_{t=0} &= 0 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^2) \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

has d'Alembert solution:  $u = \frac{f(\theta + t) + f(\theta - t)}{2}$ 

#### d = 2: frCFT kernel

When d = 2, the IVP simplifies:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} \quad (x = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ t > 0) \\ u(x, 0) &= f(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^2) \\ u_t|_{t=0} &= 0 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^2) \end{aligned}$$

has d'Alembert solution:  $u = \frac{f(\theta + t) + f(\theta - t)}{2}$ 

$$C_t^{(2)}(x,y) = \frac{-ie^{it}}{2\pi \sin t} e^{(i/2)\cot t |x-y|^2} e^{-x \wedge y}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^+f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{y \wedge x} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{e_1 e_2(x_2 y_1 - x_1 y_2)} f(x) \, dx$$

See also: Brackx, De Schepper, Sommen: J Math Imag Vis (2006)

### d > 2: Separation of variables

$$u = u(x, y, t) = u(z, \omega, t)$$
 with  $z = |x||y|$ ,  $\omega = \langle x, y \rangle/z$ .

 $f(x) = F(\langle x, y \rangle) = F(|x||y|\omega).$ 

$$u = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(\ell+d-2)e^{i\ell t} + \ell e^{i(2-d-\ell)t}}{2\ell+d-2} \right) \\ \times \left( \int_{-1}^{1} F(s) P_{\ell}^{d}(s) (1-s^{2})^{(d-3)/2} \, ds \right) N(d,\ell) P_{\ell}^{d}(\omega)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

d = 4: solution of the IVP

#### d'Alembert-like solution:

$$z = |x||y|, f(x) = F(\langle x, y \rangle) = F(z \cos \theta)$$
  

$$u = u(z, \theta, t)$$
  

$$= \frac{e^{-it}}{2\sin \theta} \left[ \sin(\theta + t)F(z \cos(\theta + t)) + \sin(\theta - t)F(z \cos(\theta - t)) + i \int_{\cos(\theta + t)}^{\cos(\theta - t)} F(zs) ds \right]$$

#### d = 4: frCFT kernel

Theorem (Craddock, H. (JFAA 2013))  $C_t^{(4)}(x, y) = u + v, \ u \in \Lambda_0, \ v \in \Lambda_2 \ and$   $u = -\frac{e^{3it}}{4\pi^2 \sin t} e^{(i/2)\cot t|x-y|^2} \left[\cot t \cos|x \wedge y| + i\frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{|x \wedge y|}\sin|x \wedge y| + i\frac{\sin|x \wedge y|}{|x \wedge y|}\right]$ 

 $v = \cdots$ 

# Theorem (Craddock, H. (JFAA 2013)) Let d > 2, $g \in C^1[-1, 1]$ and G an antiderivative of g, then

$$u_{d+2}^{e}(g) = \frac{e^{-it}}{z} \frac{\partial u_{d}^{e}(G)}{\partial \omega}; \quad u_{d+2}^{o}(g) = \frac{d}{d-2} \frac{e^{-it}}{z} \frac{\partial u_{d}^{o}(G)}{\partial \omega}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$e^{it\Gamma_x}(\langle x,y
angle^m)=\sum_{\ell=0}^m c_\ell^{(m)}(t)\langle x,y
angle^{m-\ell}(x\wedge y)^\ell$$

$$e^{it\Gamma_x}(\langle x,y\rangle^m) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m c_\ell^{(m)}(t)\langle x,y\rangle^{m-\ell}(x\wedge y)^\ell$$

$$egin{aligned} &rac{d}{dt}(e^{it\Gamma_{ imes}}\langle x,y
angle^m) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^m rac{d}{dt}c_\ell^{(m)}(t)\langle x,y
angle^{m-\ell}(x\wedge y)^\ell \ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^m c_\ell^{(m)}(t)\sum_{j=0}^m A_{\ell j}^{(m)}\langle x,y
angle^{m-j}(x\wedge y)^j \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{it\Gamma_x}(\langle x,y\rangle^m)=\sum_{\ell=0}^m c_\ell^{(m)}(t)\langle x,y\rangle^{m-\ell}(x\wedge y)^\ell$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}(e^{it\Gamma_x}\langle x,y\rangle^m) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^m \frac{d}{dt}c_\ell^{(m)}(t)\langle x,y\rangle^{m-\ell}(x\wedge y)^\ell\\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^m c_\ell^{(m)}(t)\sum_{j=0}^m A_{\ell j}^{(m)}\langle x,y\rangle^{m-j}(x\wedge y)^j \end{split}$$

$$rac{d}{dt} \mathbf{c}^{(m)}(t) = i \mathcal{A}^{(m)} \mathbf{c}^{(m)}; \quad \mathbf{c}^{(m)}(0) = e_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{c}^{(m)}(t) = e^{i t \mathcal{A}^{(m)}} e_0.$$

$$A^{(2N)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2N & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ d-1 & 2-d & 2N-1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2N-2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & d+1 & 2-d & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 2-d & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 2N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^{(2N)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2N & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ d-1 & 2-d & 2N-1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2N-2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & d+1 & 2-d & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 2-d & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 2N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $A^{(m)}$  associated with the recurrence relations for the dual -1 Hahn polynomials. Eigenvectors are values of these polynomials

- Orthogonality relations used to compute the exponentials
- Generating functions to compute the resulting sums in closed form

# Properties of the CFT

- Eigenfunction property:  $D_x C_d^+(x, y) = C_d^-(x, y)y$
- Mapping properties:  $\mathcal{F}^+: L^1 \to L^\infty, \ \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}, \ L^2 \to L^2$
- Plancherel:  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(x)}g(x) dx = (f,g) = (\mathcal{F}_d^+ f, \mathcal{F}_d^+ g)$
- Inversion:  $(\mathcal{F}_d^+)^2 = I$
- Covariances:

$$\mathcal{F}_2^+\tau_h = e^{y \wedge h} \mathcal{F}_2^+; \qquad \mathcal{F}_2^+(e^{x \wedge h}f) = \tau_h \mathcal{F}_2^+; \qquad \rho \mathcal{F}_2^+ = \mathcal{F}_2^+ \rho^{-1}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Properties of the CFT

- Eigenfunction property:  $D_x C_d^+(x, y) = C_d^-(x, y)y$
- Mapping properties:  $\mathcal{F}^+ : L^1 \to L^\infty, \ \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}, \ L^2 \to L^2$
- Plancherel:  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(x)}g(x) dx = (f,g) = (\mathcal{F}_d^+ f, \mathcal{F}_d^+ g)$
- Inversion:  $(\mathcal{F}_d^+)^2 = I$
- Covariances:

$$\mathcal{F}_2^+\tau_h = e^{y \wedge h} \mathcal{F}_2^+; \qquad \mathcal{F}_2^+(e^{x \wedge h}f) = \tau_h \mathcal{F}_2^+; \qquad \rho \mathcal{F}_2^+ = \mathcal{F}_2^+ \rho^{-1}$$

#### Theorem

Let  $\sigma \in SO(d)$  and  $z = z_{\sigma} \in Spin(d)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = zx\overline{z}$  for all  $x \in \Lambda_1$ . Let  $S_z f(x) = \overline{z}f(zx\overline{z})z$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_d^+ S_z = S_z \mathcal{F}_d^+$$

# Properties of the CFT

- Eigenfunction property:  $D_x C_d^+(x, y) = C_d^-(x, y)y$
- Mapping properties:  $\mathcal{F}^+: L^1 \to L^\infty, \ \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}, \ L^2 \to L^2$
- Plancherel:  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(x)}g(x) dx = (f,g) = (\mathcal{F}_d^+ f, \mathcal{F}_d^+ g)$
- Inversion:  $(\mathcal{F}_d^+)^2 = I$
- Covariances:

$$\mathcal{F}_2^+\tau_h = e^{y \wedge h} \mathcal{F}_2^+; \qquad \mathcal{F}_2^+(e^{x \wedge h}f) = \tau_h \mathcal{F}_2^+; \qquad \rho \mathcal{F}_2^+ = \mathcal{F}_2^+ \rho^{-1}$$

#### Theorem

Let  $\sigma \in SO(d)$  and  $z = z_{\sigma} \in Spin(d)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = zx\overline{z}$  for all  $x \in \Lambda_1$ . Let  $S_z f(x) = \overline{z}f(zx\overline{z})z$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_d^+ S_z = S_z \mathcal{F}_d^+$$

cf. classical FT:  $\mathcal{F}R_{\sigma} = R_{\sigma}^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ 

・ロト ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 今日・

d = 2: Quaternionic signal processing

#### Definition

An parity matrix is one of the form  $A(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} s(\xi) & v(\xi) \\ v(-\xi) & s(-\xi) \end{pmatrix}$  with  $s : \mathbb{R}^d \to \Lambda_e$  and  $v : \mathbb{R}^d \to \Lambda_o$ .  $A(\xi)^* = \overline{A}(\xi)^T$ .

# d = 2: Quaternionic signal processing

#### Definition

An parity matrix is one of the form  $A(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} s(\xi) & v(\xi) \\ v(-\xi) & s(-\xi) \end{pmatrix}$  with  $s : \mathbb{R}^d \to \Lambda_e$  and  $v : \mathbb{R}^d \to \Lambda_o$ .  $A(\xi)^* = \overline{A}(\xi)^T$ .

Given  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_d$ , its associated parity matrix is

$$[f(x)] = \begin{pmatrix} f_e(x) & f_o(x) \\ f_o(-x) & f_e(-x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x)$  and  $f_e : \mathbb{R}^d \to \Lambda_e$ ,  $f_o : \mathbb{R}^d \to \Lambda_o$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Convolution theorem (d = 2)

Convolution-filtering:  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}, \ \hat{f} = \mathcal{F}_2^+ f$ 

$$\widehat{f * g}(y) = \int e^{y \wedge x} \int f(x - t)g(t) \, dt \, dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Convolution theorem (d = 2)

Convolution-filtering:  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}, \ \hat{f} = \mathcal{F}_2^+ f$ 

$$\widehat{f * g}(y) = \int e^{y \wedge x} \int f(x-t)g(t) \, dt \, dx$$

Theorem (H, Morris (2013))

$$\widehat{f * g}(y) \neq \widehat{f}(y)\widehat{g}(y)$$
 but  $[\widehat{f * g}(y)] = [\widehat{f}(y)][\widehat{g}(y)]$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

### Translation-invariance

Theorem (H, Morris (2013))

 $T: L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$  is bounded, right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear and translation-invariant if and only if there exists a bounded parity matrix  $A(\xi)$  such that

 $[\widehat{Tf}(\xi)] = A(\xi)[\widehat{f}(\xi)].$ 

#### Translation-invariance

Theorem (H, Morris (2013))

 $T: L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$  is bounded, right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear and translation-invariant if and only if there exists a bounded parity matrix  $A(\xi)$  such that

 $[\widehat{Tf}(\xi)] = A(\xi)[\widehat{f}(\xi)].$ 

Theorem (H, Morris (2013))  $X \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$  is a closed translation-invariant right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear submodule of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$  if and only if there exists an idempotent self-adjoint parity matrix  $A(\xi)$  such that  $[\hat{f}(\xi)] = A(\xi)[\hat{f}(\xi)]$  for all  $f \in X$ .

・ロト・4週ト・モート・モー・シュル

# Examples:

• 
$$E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$
 measurable.  
 $X = X_E = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}); \hat{f}(\xi) = 0 \text{ off } E\}.$   
 $A_E(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_E(\xi) & 0\\ 0 & \chi_{-E}(\xi) \end{pmatrix}, \quad m(\xi) = \chi_E(\xi)$ 

#### Examples:

• 
$$E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$
 measurable.  
 $X = X_E = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}); \hat{f}(\xi) = 0 \text{ off } E\}.$   
 $A_E(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_E(\xi) & 0\\ 0 & \chi_{-E}(\xi) \end{pmatrix}, \quad m(\xi) = \chi_E(\xi)$ 

*H*<sup>2</sup><sub>±</sub>(ℝ<sup>d</sup>) the Hardy spaces of functions with "monogenic extensions" to ℝ<sup>d</sup><sub>±</sub>.

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\pm}(\xi) &= rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm \xi/|\xi| \ \mp \xi/|\xi| & 1 \ \end{pmatrix}; \quad m_{\pm}(\xi) &= rac{1}{2} igg(1\pmrac{\xi}{|\xi|}igg) \end{aligned}$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

# The Hilbert multiplier



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

### Continuous wavelet transform

$$\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R}_2), \ \psi_t(x) = t^{-2}\psi(x/t), \ \psi^*(x) = \overline{\psi(-x)}.$$

Wavelet transform:  $f \mapsto W_{\psi}f(x,t) = f * \psi_t^*(x)$ 

Calderón singular integral: 
$$T_\psi f(x) = \int_0^\infty W_\psi f(\cdot,t) * \psi_t(x) \, rac{dt}{t}$$

#### Continuous wavelet transform

$$\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}_2), \ \psi_t(x) = t^{-2}\psi(x/t), \ \psi^*(x) = \overline{\psi(-x)}.$$

Wavelet transform:  $f \mapsto W_{\psi}f(x,t) = f * \psi_t^*(x)$ 

Calderón singular integral: 
$$T_{\psi}f(x) = \int_0^\infty W_{\psi}f(\cdot, t) * \psi_t(x) \frac{dt}{t}$$

Theorem (Morris, H. (2012))

 $T_{\psi}$  bounded and invertible if and only if there exist constants  $0 < A \le B < \infty$  such that

$$A.I \leq \int_0^\infty [\hat{\psi}(t\xi)]^* [\hat{\psi}(t\xi)] \frac{dt}{t} \leq B.I$$

for a.e.  $\xi$ .

Quaternionic scaling functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ 

 $\{h_k\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{H}) \text{ then } m_0(y) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{2\pi\ell \wedge y} h_k$ Theorem (H, Morris (2012))  $\{\varphi(x-\ell)\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \text{ orthonormal in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \text{ if and only if }$ 

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} [\hat{\varphi}(y+\ell)] [\hat{\varphi}(y+\ell)]^* = I$$
(1)

Quaternionic scaling functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ 

 $\{h_k\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{H}) \text{ then } m_0(y) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{2\pi \ell \wedge y} h_k$ Theorem (H, Morris (2012))  $\{\varphi(x - \ell)\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \text{ orthonormal in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \text{ if and only if }$ 

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} [\hat{\varphi}(y+\ell)] [\hat{\varphi}(y+\ell)]^* = I$$
(1)

 $\varphi$  is self-similar if

$$\frac{1}{4}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \varphi(x-\ell)h_\ell \iff [\hat{\varphi}(2y)] = [\hat{\varphi}(y)][m_0(-y)] \quad (2)$$

Quaternionic scaling functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ 

 $\{h_k\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{H}) \text{ then } m_0(y) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{2\pi \ell \wedge y} h_k$ Theorem (H, Morris (2012))  $\{\varphi(x-\ell)\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \text{ orthonormal in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \text{ if and only if }$ 

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} [\hat{\varphi}(y+\ell)] [\hat{\varphi}(y+\ell)]^* = I$$
(1)

 $\varphi$  is self-similar if

$$\frac{1}{4}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \varphi(x-\ell)h_\ell \iff [\hat{\varphi}(2y)] = [\hat{\varphi}(y)][m_0(-y)] \quad (2)$$

$$(1)+(2) \Longrightarrow [m_0(0)] = I \text{ and } \sum_{p \in P} [m_0(y+p)]^*[m_0(y+p)] = I$$

(QMF condition) with  $P = \{0, (1/2, 0), (0, 1/2), (1/2, 1/2)\}.$
# Quaternionic wavelets

$$[\hat{\psi}_j(2y)] = [m_j(y)][\hat{\varphi}(y)] \quad (1 \le j \le 3)$$

$$U(y) = \begin{pmatrix} [m_0(y)] & [m_1(y)] & [m_2(y)] & [m_3(y)] \\ [m_0(y+p_1)] & [m_1(y+p_1)] & [m_2(y+p_1)] & [m_3(y+p_1)] \\ [m_0(y+p_2)] & [m_1(y+p_2)] & [m_2(y+p_2)] & [m_3(y+p_2)] \\ [m_0(y+p_3)] & [m_1(y+p_3)] & [m_2(y+p_3)] & [m_3(y+p_3)] \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

### Quaternionic wavelets

$$[\hat{\psi}_j(2y)] = [m_j(y)][\hat{\varphi}(y)] \quad (1 \le j \le 3)$$

$$U(y) = \begin{pmatrix} [m_0(y)] & [m_1(y)] & [m_2(y)] & [m_3(y)] \\ [m_0(y+p_1)] & [m_1(y+p_1)] & [m_2(y+p_1)] & [m_3(y+p_1)] \\ [m_0(y+p_2)] & [m_1(y+p_2)] & [m_2(y+p_2)] & [m_3(y+p_2)] \\ [m_0(y+p_3)] & [m_1(y+p_3)] & [m_2(y+p_3)] & [m_3(y+p_3)] \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem (H, Morris (2012))  $\{2^{j}\psi_{j}(2^{j}-k); 1 \leq j \leq 3, j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\}$  o.n.b. for  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2},\mathbb{H})$  if and only if

$$U(0)=I$$
 and  $U(y)U(y)^*=I$  for a.e. y

Scalar case, d = 1:

$$U(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} m_0(\xi) & m_1(\xi) \\ m_0(\xi + 1/2) & m_1(\xi + 1/2) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\varphi \longleftrightarrow m_0$$

$$m_0$$
 a trig poly  $\iff \{h_k\}$  a finite sequence  $\iff \varphi$  compactly supported

Wavelet  $\psi$ :  $\hat{\psi}(2\xi) = m_1(\xi)\hat{\varphi}(\xi)$ .  $(\varphi, \psi)$  a mother-father wavelet pair if and only if

•  $U(\xi)$  unitary for all  $\xi$  and

• 
$$U(0) = I$$

$$m_0, \,\, m_1 ext{ trig polys } \Rightarrow U(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} A_k e^{2\pi i k \xi}$$

$$I = U(\xi)U(\xi)^* \iff \sum_{k=0}^{M-1-\ell} A_k A_{k+\ell}^* = \delta_\ell$$
(3)

Samples of  $U(\xi)$ :  $U_{\ell} = U(\ell/M)$ 

$$U_{\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} A_k e^{2\pi i k \ell/M} \Rightarrow A_k = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} U_{\ell} e^{-2\pi i \ell k/M}$$
(4)

Proposition  $U(\xi)$  unitary for all  $\xi$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} b_{nj}^{(\ell)} U_n^* U_j = M^2 \delta_\ell I \quad (0 \le \ell \le M-1)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

where 
$$b_{nj}^{(\ell)} = e^{-2\pi i \ell j / M} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1-\ell} e^{2\pi i k (n-j) / M}$$

Proposition  $U(\xi)$  unitary for all  $\xi$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} b_{nj}^{(\ell)} U_n^* U_j = M^2 \delta_\ell I \quad (0 \le \ell \le M-1)$$

where 
$$b_{nj}^{(\ell)} = e^{-2\pi i \ell j/M} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1-\ell} e^{2\pi i k (n-j)/M}$$
 .

Equivalently,

$$\{U_k\}_{k=0}^{M-1}$$
 unitary and  $U_k^*V_k = V_k^*U_k$   $(0 \le k \le M-1)$ 

where

$$V_k = \sum_{m \neq k} \frac{U_m}{e^{2\pi i (k-m)/M} - 1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Reformulation

We want to find three *M*-tuple of matrices

$$\mathbf{U} = (U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{M-1})$$
$$\mathbf{V} = (V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{M-1})$$
$$\mathbf{W} = (W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{M-1})$$

such that

(i) each  $U_n$  is unitary; (ii)  $V_n = \sum_{m \neq n} a_{m-n} U_m$ ;  $\left(a_m = \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi i m/M}}\right)$ (iii)  $W_n = V_n^* U_n$ (iv) each  $W_n$  is self-adjoint

## Minimization

$$F(\mathbf{U},\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \|V_n - \sum_{m \neq n} a_{mn} U_m\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \|W_n - V_n^* U_n\|^2$$

Then compute

 $\min_{\boldsymbol{U},\boldsymbol{V},\boldsymbol{W}}F(\boldsymbol{U},\boldsymbol{V},\boldsymbol{W})$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

subject to the constraints

(i)  $U_n$  unitary;

(ii)  $W_n$  self-adjoint

## Other constraints

#### Consistency:

$$U(\xi + 1/2) = \begin{pmatrix} m_0(\xi + 1/2) & m_1(\xi + 1/2) \\ m_0(\xi) & m_1(\xi) \end{pmatrix} = JU(\xi) \quad (5)$$
  
where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Equivalently,

$$U_{\ell+M/2} = JU_\ell \quad (0 \leq \ell \leq M/2 - 1)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

### Other constraints

#### Consistency:

$$U(\xi + 1/2) = \begin{pmatrix} m_0(\xi + 1/2) & m_1(\xi + 1/2) \\ m_0(\xi) & m_1(\xi) \end{pmatrix} = JU(\xi) \quad (5)$$
  
where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Equivalently,

$$U_{\ell+M/2} = JU_\ell \quad (0 \leq \ell \leq M/2 - 1)$$

Regularity:  $m'_0(1/2) = m''_0(1/2) = \cdots = m_0^{(j)}(1/2) = 0$ 

$$U^{(j)}(0) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} c_\ell^{(j)} U_\ell$$

# Thanks!

