ORIGINAL PAPER



Drought patterns: their spatiotemporal variability and impacts on maize production in Limpopo province, South Africa

Nicole Costa Resende Ferreira¹ · Reimund Paul Rötter¹ · Gennady Bracho-Mujica¹ · William C. D. Nelson¹ · Quang Dung Lam¹ · Claus Recktenwald² · Isaaka Abdulai¹ · Jude Odhiambo³ · Stefan Foord⁴

Received: 29 March 2022 / Revised: 14 August 2022 / Accepted: 14 October 2022 / Published online: 7 December 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Due to global climate change, droughts are likely to become more frequent and more severe in many regions such as in South Africa. In Limpopo, observed high climate variability and projected future climate change will likely increase future maize production risks. This paper evaluates drought patterns in Limpopo at two representative sites. We studied how drought patterns are projected to change under future climatic conditions as an important step in identifying adaptation measures (e.g., breeding maize ideotypes resilient to future conditions). Thirty-year time horizons were analyzed, considering three emission scenarios and five global climate models. We applied the WOFOST crop model to simulate maize crop growth and yield formation over South Africa's summer season. We considered three different crop emergence dates. Drought indices indicated that mainly in the scenario SSP5-8.5 (2051–2080), Univen and Syferkuil will experience worsened drought conditions (DC) in the future. Maize yield tends to decline and future changes in the emergence date seem to impact yield significantly. A possible alternative is to delay sowing date to November or December to reduce the potential yield losses. The grain filling period tends to decrease in the future, and a decrease in the duration of the growth cycle is very likely. Combinations of changed sowing time with more drought tolerant maize cultivars having a longer post-anthesis phase will likely reduce the potential negative impact of climate change on maize.

Keywords Climate change · Droughts · South Africa · Crop modeling · Maize

Introduction

Droughts affect different regions globally, with a range of negative impacts affecting multiple socioeconomic and environmental sectors, including agriculture (Vicente-Serrano

 Nicole Costa Resende Ferreira Nicole.resende@uni-goettingen.de
Reimund Paul Rötter

reimund-paul.roetter@agr.uni-goettingen.de

Gennady Bracho-Mujica gennady.brachomujica@uni-goettingen.de

William C. D. Nelson william.nelson@agr.uni-goettingen.de

Quang Dung Lam lam.quangdung@uni-goettingen.de

Claus Recktenwald claus.recktenwald@gmx.de

Isaaka Abdulai iabdula@gwdg.de 2006; Ferreira et al. 2021a), water resources (Ferreira and Chou 2018; Ferreira et al. 2021b), and forestry (Copenheaver et al. 2011), among others. Due to global climate change, droughts are likely to become more frequent and more severe in many regions (Dai 2011), as a consequence

Jude Odhiambo Jude.Odhiambo@univen.ac.za Stefan Foord Stefan.Foord@univen.ac.za

- ¹ Tropical Plant Production and Agricultural Systems Modelling (TROPAGS), Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Grisebachstraße 6, 37077 Göttingen, Germany
- ² Kasisi Agricultural Training Center (KATC), Kasisi Mission, Farm 591, Lusaka, Zambia
- ³ Department of Soil Science, University of Venda, Thohoyandou 0950, South Africa
- ⁴ Department of Zoology, University of Venda, Thohoyandou 0950, South Africa

of the projected global warming with changes in circulation patterns (e.g., Kornhuber et al. 2019), increased evapotranspiration, changes in rainfall patterns, accelerated hydrological cycle with increased rainfall intensity, etc. (Drumond et al. 2019; Fischer and Knutti 2014; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2019; Lobell et al. 2013). High temperatures are expected to result in higher water deficits during the summer season, leading to decreased soil moisture and more frequent and severe agricultural droughts (Adams and Peck 2009; Park et al. 2018).

Large-scale droughts have occurred worldwide at different times throughout historical record (Dai 2011; Trnka et al. 2018), yet the damage has increased substantially in recent decades (Moravec et al. 2021). In arid and semi-arid areas of southern Africa, droughts are common and frequent (Park et al. 2018; Meza et al. 2021; Mahlalela et al. 2020) causing significant economic losses (Vogel et al. 2000) and increasing food insecurity in the region (Verschuur et al. 2021). Since 1970, Southern Africa has observed more intense, widespread and more extended droughts (Richard et al. 2001; Burls et al. 2019). In this context, it is important to unravel the spatiotemporal patterns and severity of drought at different scales to support the design and adjustment of climate change mitigation and adaptation measures.

The agriculture sector depends on climate to guarantee crop productivity, profitability, and quality. Lobell et al. (2008) concluded that agricultural production will mainly be negatively affected by climate change and will impede the ability of many regions to achieve the necessary gains for future food security, as was also recently found for the main wheat producing and exporting regions worldwide (Trnka et al. 2019). In southern Africa, maize is predominantly grown in smallholder farming systems, where over 90% of the production systems are rainfed; and also, the maize cultivated by commercial farmers in South Africa is mainly rainfed (Bationo and Waswa 2011). Smallholder maize farming systems in the dry savanna areas, as found in Limpopo (Rötter et al. 2021), are particularly vulnerable to climate variability and change (Adger et al. 2007; Cairns et al. 2013; Conway et al. 2015). This could have a huge impact on local food security due to the importance of these areas to the agricultural sector. While many studies show that climate change will increase drought frequency and severity, the direction and extent of these changes and related crop yields depend on the region and season. For this reason, the use of different drought metrics might be needed to provide robust estimates of related risks (Cook et al. 2020).

This paper aims to study drought patterns in the Limpopo region (South Africa) and evaluate their spatiotemporal patterns and how these are likely to change under future climatic conditions to signal potential repercussions on crop yields. In particular, we will look at 30-year time horizons and consider different emissions scenarios and global climate models. The other important and closely related objective is how drought may potentially affect maize crop production in two representative sites in Limpopo, with contrasting conditions. To quantify climate change's impact on maize development and yield, we applied the crop growth simulation model WOFOST (Boogaard et al. 1998).

Materials and methods

Study area and maize climatic requirements

The study area comprises parts of the Limpopo province, South Africa (SA). This region is known as one of the hottest provinces in the country (Kruger and Shongwe 2004), with frequent and severe droughts due to high temperatures and unreliable rainfall (Maponya and Mpandeli 2012; Maposa et al. 2021). The region presents mostly a subtropical climate, with a contrasting environment favorable for the cultivation of grain crops, tropical fruits, and vegetables. We focused this study on two sites: Univen and Syferkuil (Fig. 1). These sites were chosen due to the contrasting environmental conditions (i.e., soil and climate characteristics) and long-term data availability.

The interannual variability of total accumulated precipitation per year is higher at Univen (Online Resource 1) than at Syferkuil. In both sites, there is a clear seasonal pattern in precipitation, from October until March, but Univen is a warmer site. In Syferkuil, there is a distinct increase in air temperature from 2003 onwards, especially in January.

In SA, several circulation phenomena influence climate variability, including El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon-with contrasting impacts associated with El Niño and La Niña phases (Reason and Jagadheesha 2005; Gizaw and Gan 2017). As a general rule, the El Niño phase tends to lead to drier conditions, whereas the La Niña phase tends to lead to wetter conditions (Reason and Jagadheesha 2005; Phillips et al. 1998; Nicholson and Kim 1997; Janowiak 1988). The spatial extent of drought-prone regions of SA may increase in the future due to an increase in the frequency of El Niño episodes under a warmer climate (Diaz et al. 2001; Perry et al. 2017; Cai et al. 2015; Power et al. 2013). Pomposi et al. (2018) verified that strong and moderate-to-weak El Niño events tend to increase dry days in southern Africa. The same authors concluded that the likelihood of southern Africa receiving less than average precipitation is approximately 80% for strong El Niño events compared to just over 60% for moderate-to-weak El Niño events. A detailed comparison of the precipitation patterns of average years with El Niños and strong El Niños is given in the supplementary material (Online Resources 2 and 3). In years with strong El Niño events, especially the south-eastern region become drier than normal, and in



the north-eastern region, strong El Niños have an opposite effect. Therefore, when looking at the country's average yield, the ENSO impact for some regions may be masked, leading to little overall effect on yield (as shown, e.g., in Mozambique, Angola, Zambia). In other countries, such as South Africa and Botswana, where we observe drought patterns exclusively in years of strong El Niños, the relationship between drought and yield is more consistent on a year-toyear basis.

Regarding maize production in SA, the Limpopo region plays an essential role. A great share of its maize production (62%) is provided by smallholder farmers (LEDET, 2016). According to Agbiz (2016), maize is the most cultivated grain crop in SA, followed by soybeans, wheat, sunflower, and sugar cane (FAOSTAT 2019). The average maize yield (t.ha⁻¹) from 1990 to 2019 for Limpopo and SA, and their relationship with El Niño can be found at Online Resource 4. In the last decade, the average commercial yield in Limpopo exceeded the average values in SA, emphasizing the region's importance in the national agricultural development. The climate conditions in Limpopo and especially the low mean annual precipitation are known as factors limiting yields attainable under rainfed conditions (Conway et al. 2015; Trambauer et al. 2014).

Limpopo is one of those areas of SA frequently prone to drought events (Dlamini 2013). The current climate variability as observed in Limpopo and the expected future climatic change may impose higher future risks to crop production. The climate vulnerability in SA is also emphasized by the fact that most maize production is rainfed, with less than 10% produced under irrigation (Baloyi 2011). The location and time of the year/length of the growing season are critical factors that determine the potential impacts of climate change on crop production (Gbetibouo and Hassan 2005). Each crop has climatic requirements including crop water requirements, which mainly depend on the crop's genetic characteristics, stage of growth, and duration of the growth cycle.

Climate projections

Projections from Phase Six of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) and the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISI-MIP) provide climate scenarios based on different Shared Socio-Economic Pathways (SSP) (O'Neill et al. 2014, 2020). These climate scenarios can be used to investigate the implications of longterm climatic changes for designing robust policies in an environment of interacting complex systems and uncertainty (Hall et al. 2016; Harrison et al. 2015; O'Neil et al. 2014).

For this study, we selected the scenarios SSP1-2.6, SSP3-7.0, and SSP5-8, which can be considered optimistic, intermediate, and pessimistic climate change scenarios, respectively. We used BIAS-adjusted precipitation data (Lange 2019) for the historical and future periods. Climate change projections were divided into two 30-year time-slices, from 2021 to 2050 and 2051 to 2080. The climate models were selected according to their availability: IPSL-CM6A-LR, GFDL-ESM4, MPI-ESM1-2-HR, MRI-ESM2-0, and UKESM1-0-LL. The models have a horizontal resolution of $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$, and for convenience, they will be named as IPSL, GFDL, MPI, MRI, and UKESM, respectively. To evaluate the climate models' performance, we used simulated historical climate data (1981–2010) and observed climate data (1984 to 2014) and calculated the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the mean bias error (MBE). Different meteorological drought indices were calculated, and an ensemble mean model was created for assessing the temporal and spatial patterns of drought.

Drought analyses

Masih et al. (2014) presented a review of droughts on the African continent from 1900 to 2013, indicating that droughts have become more frequent, intense, and widespread during the last 50 years. In SA, droughts occur often and during different times of the year in all climatic zones, with different intensity, spatial extent, and duration (Rouault and Richard 2003). In the Limpopo province, drought imposes a considerable risk since large parts of the province have a semi-arid climate with low, erratic rainfall (Maponya and Mpandeli 2012).

Several indices are commonly used as proxies to capture different drought patterns based on climatic information. Those indices were developed to characterize drought considering different approaches based on its magnitude, duration, frequency, and intensity (Heim 2000, 2002; Vicente-Serrano et al. 2010; Dai 2011; Edossa et al. 2016; Rouault and Richard 2003).

In this study, six indices were selected to represent different drought conditions: PRCPTOT (total precipitation accumulated per month, mm), DD (dry days: the number of days without precipitation), LDP (longest dry period: the number of consecutive days without precipitation), LWP (longest wet period: number of consecutive days with precipitation), RX5D (maximum consecutive 5-day precipitation within a month, mm), and SPI (standardized precipitation index for classification of drought severity).

Indices were calculated for the maize growing period in the study area (i.e., from October to March). This period was chosen since the main maize planting time is between mid-October and mid-December (Matimolane 2018). Each index can help to understand drought patterns in a different way and thus jointly provide the basis for the design of effective adaptation/mitigation measures.

Crop simulation modelling

Climate extremes, such as drought, have several impacts on crop performance, affecting among others, the sowing dates, nutrient management practices, and eventually the actual yield obtained. In this context, process-based crop models are widely used tools for predicting crop growth and yield on the basis of crop characteristics and their interaction with prevailing weather and soil conditions. These tools can support current and future agricultural field management and national decision-making, e.g., the widely applied modelling platforms APSIM (Keating et al. 2003), DSSAT (Jones et al. 2003), and WOFOST (Van Ittersum et al. 2003). It is expected that future changes in temperature and precipitation regimes will be directly reflected by changes in crop yields all over the world, whereby negative yield impacts are likely to be prevalent in many regions, including most African countries (Abraha and Savage 2006; Porter et al. 2014; Waha et al. 2013).

Among the crop simulation models that have been applied in Africa, we chose the World Food Studies (WOFOST 7.1) model for simulating daily crop growth and spring maize yield under rainfed conditions in SA under different climate change scenarios (Ma et al. 2013; Boogard et al. 2013, 1998; de Wit et al. 2019). The WOFOST model simulates the phenological development of different crops, from emergence to maturity, considering the crop genetic properties and environmental conditions (Hadiya et al. 2018). It comprises different processes such as phenological development, light interception, CO2 assimilation, transpiration, respiration, partitioning of assimilates to the various organs, dry matter, and yield formation (Boogard et al. 1998; Hadiya et al. 2018). The WOFOST model has been applied and is continuously being evaluated and extended for different crops all over the world (Dobermann et al. 2000; Palosuo et al. 2011; Rötter et al. 2012; Cheng et al. 2016; de Wit et al. 2019). Previous calibration and validation of the WOFOST model for different regions in Africa can be found at Liu (2015), Wolf et al. (2015), Kassie et al. (2014), Rötter and van Keulen (1997), and Ogutu et al. (2018).

WOFOST requires as input data: daily weather, soil information, and crop characteristics. Among the input data needed are station name, latitude, longitude, altitude, minimum temperature, maximum temperature, hours of bright sunshine duration or global radiation, wind speed at 2 m, rainfall amount, and vapor pressure. The soil characteristics required are soil texture and soil moisture volumetric fraction at field capacity (cm³.cm⁻³), at permanent wilting point (cm³.cm⁻³), and at saturation (cm³.cm⁻³). To calibrate the model for a given crop cultivar, it is required to have information about crop phenology, maximum leaf area index (LAImax), biomass partitioning pattern, final biomass, and grain yield; if possible, data on soil moisture content in the root zone at some point in time will allow us to cross-check soil water balance calculations.

Sowing dates and crop emergence can have a considerable impact on crop performance. Usually, farmers plant flexibly within a sowing window depending on the location. We considered three different dates of crop emergence, 15 October (julian day 288), 15 November (319), and 15 December (349), based on crop calendars for the given regions. The sites are classified as sandy clay loams, and the soil properties were taken from the recent high resolution ($30 \text{ m} \times 30 \text{ m}$) digital soil map iSDA (2020). Online Resource 5 describes the full set up of the model runs applied in this research.

Besides using different emergence dates, we used different climate scenarios to identify how climate change may affect maize production in the region. We used data from the climate models considering the historical period (1981–2010) and the future projections SSP1-2.6, SSP3-7.0, and SSP5-8.5 (2021–2050, 2051–2080). We simulated potential yield (Yp) and water-limited yield (Ywl). We evaluated the water-limited yield (t.ha⁻¹), yield gap (calculated as the difference between potential yield and water-limited yield) (t.ha⁻¹), grain filling period (defined as the period between the day of flowering and the harvest) (days), and cycle duration (days). Model annual outputs were evaluated to understand how climate change, and more specifically drought occurrence will affect maize yield year-to-year variability.

Results and discussion

Drought climatology

We examined the drought climatology using precipitation data in the Limpopo province to verify the variations of long-term annual drought patterns according to historical observations as well as historical weather simulations. Such analysis is essential to identify the years with drought conditions (DC) and identify differences between the two experimental sites (Online Resource 6).

The highest errors in the models are identified for the climate zone represented by Univen site, which has higher amounts of rainfall than the climate zone represented by Syferkuil. In Univen, models underestimate precipitation, as seen from the accumulated precipitation (PRCPTOT) and maximum consecutive 5-day precipitation (RX5D) indices in the NDJF season. In 1999/2000, at Univen, the PRCPTOT index showed a big difference between observed and simulated data. While observations indicated a high value (436.5 mm) of monthly accumulated precipitation (NDJF season), the climate models used in this study were unable to represent this well. A similar pattern is also seen in the RX5D index. The PRCPTOT values

in November and December of 1999 were below long-term climatic means (0 and 69 mm, respectively), yet, in January and February of 2000, the highest values recorded in the subregion were observed, with accumulated precipitation of 783 and 894 mm, respectively. These high amounts of precipitation resulted in a disastrous flooding, causing losses of human lives, as well as considerable economic losses (Khandlhela and May 2006). Recktenwald (2019) reported that the southern summer season of 1991/1992 was dry, with droughts occurring in Limpopo. The results agree with the observed drought record, which indicates major droughts in 1991-1992 and 2004-2005 (Walz et al. 2020; Meza et al. 2021). At Univen, model simulations show an increase in DD in 1999, while according to observed data, there was a decrease in DD. At Syferkuil, climate model simulations underestimated DD. The longest wet period index (LWP) shows great variations among the models (e.g., for 1995 and 2005); hence, it appears that the climate model ensemble cannot adequately capture observed extremes. Regarding the longest dry period index (LDP), at Syferkuil, the models indicated low LDP values, while the observations showed high values in NDJF. The standardized precipitation index (SPI) index also shows great variations across the years and between both sites, which can have several implications for agricultural production. Similar results were found by Manatsa et al. (2010) for Zimbabwe.

The definition of drought conditions (DC) for each site was calculated based on specific quantiles (q10 and q90, Online Resource 7). According to the historical simulations, the most critical values are not associated with a specific month or associated with one region only. However, the months of October and March seem to be very problematic in both areas. The driest conditions of accumulated monthly precipitation (PRCPTOT) are found at the Univen site, mainly in October (q10 is 28.4 mm). October is also the month with the lowest accumulated precipitation values in 5 days (q10 is 17.2 mm) and the shortest wet period (q10 is 2 days). October and November are usually the beginning of the rainy season, and droughts in November can be reflected in delayed sowing, as changing planting dates is a common drought adaptation measure applied by farmers in the Limpopo region (May, 2019). At the Univen site, March appears to be the month with the worst drought conditions when considering the number of days without rainfall (DD) and consecutive days without rainfall (LDP).

At Syferkuil, October presents DC due to the low accumulated rainfall in November (q10 PRCPTOT is 35.7 mm), low accumulated rainfall in 5 days (q10 RX5DAY is 19.5 mm), and low values of the longest wet period (2.2 days). October is also the month with the most critical DC, with the highest dry days (26 days) and consecutive dry days (17.2 days). February, on the other hand, presents high



◄Fig. 2 RMSE and MBE for Univen (red) and Syferkuil (blue), calculated based on historical simulations and observed data (1984–2014). The boxplots indicate the errors of the different models for each index and each month (from October to March) considered in this analysis. PRCPTOT represents the total precipitation (mm), DD is the number of dry days (days), LDP is the longest dry period (days), LWP is the longest wet period (days), RX5D is the maximum consecutive 5-day precipitation (mm), and SPI is the standardized precipitation index (-)

values of accumulated rainfall. In general, the Univen site presented more severe DC than the Syferkuil site.

Evaluation of observed against modelled climate data

Figure 2 indicates the RMSE and the MBE for October to March for the six drought indices. The PRCPTOT index showed positive MBE at Univen and negative at Syferkuil, which indicates the overestimation of the index by climate models at Univen and underestimation at Syferkuil. The largest RMSE occurs in Univen in February. The RX5D also has a higher RMSE for the Univen site, whereby January and February are the months with the biggest errors. The MBE of the DD index indicates underestimation at Univen and overestimation at Syferkuil. The smallest RMSE in the LDP index occurs at Syferkuil, while for the LWP, the smallest errors occur at Univen. Considering the SPI index, the smallest MBE is found for January at Univen and for February at Syferkuil.

In general, the RMSE is lower for the ensemble mean compared to the individual models. The same result is found for MBE, which tends to approach zero when using the ensemble. This observation confirms the suggestion that multi-member ensemble tend to compensate for errors (Rötter et al. 2011; Wallach et al. 2016). The patterns of underestimation or overestimation depend on the index studied, the model evaluated, and the climatic "subregion." Variations in errors among the models indicate projections' uncertainty, which is reflected in the ensemble. As shown in Fig. 2, the climate models exhibit differences reflected in model ensemble prediction uncertainty, as they are different numerical system realizations with different types and patterns of errors (Wallach et al. 2016). To reduce uncertainties in the predictions, we applied the mean values of multimember model ensembles in the next steps of this analysis to obtain more robust results (Martre et al. 2015).

Historical and future drought patterns

To study drought patterns and their shifts in the future, we assessed indices across the Limpopo region. We evaluated the indices using the 30-year averages of the baseline (1981–2010) and the future time-slices (2021–2050 and 2051–2080) from the model ensemble. In Fig. 3, we present

only the scenario SSP5-8.5. However, results for the scenarios SSP1-2.6 and SSP3-7.0 are available in the supplementary material (Online Resources 8–13).

Relatively small changes in PRCPTOT are expected for future climate scenarios (Fig. 3a). October is the month with the lowest precipitation values, and the rainy season seems to start in November. The most remarkable future changes occur in January (mostly in SSP5-8.5, 2051-2080), with increasing precipitation, and October, with decreasing precipitation. In general, we can observe that for both sites, shifts in precipitation seasonality may occur, which will reflect in changes in future agricultural practices. In October, the precipitation in Syferkuil may reduce from 50.2 mm (1981-2010) to 42.8 and 35 mm (SSP5-8.5, 2021-2050 and 2051–2080). In Univen, this reduction is from 44.3 mm to 37.4 and 27.6 mm (SSP5-8.5, 2021–2050 and 2051–2080). For November, February, and March, different patterns are found according to the time-slice. In December and January, we identify a trend to increase precipitation in both locations. In December, this increase is from 105.3 mm (baseline) up to 115.7 mm (SS5-8.5, 2051-2080) in Syferkuil, and from 100.8 mm up to 109.2 mm (SSP5-8.5, 2051-2080) in Univen. In January, this increase is from 126.2 mm up to 136.1 mm (SS5-8.5, 2051-2080) in Syferkuil and from 148.1 mm up to 163.5 mm (SSP5-8.5, 2051-2080) in Univen. This will certainly have impacts over the maize yield, as we discuss in the "Impacts of climate change on maize" section.

Regarding the LWP index (Fig. 3b), it is expected decreasing of LWP in north Limpopo in November and south Limpopo in October (mostly in SSP5-8.5, 2051-2080). In December, the increasing or decreasing of LWP depends on the climate scenario evaluated. There is an increase of LWP in the north region (January) and south region (February), according to the scenario SSP5-8.5 in the time-slice 2051–2080. We found the highest values of RX5D in the baseline period in January and February, in the region of Univen and Syferkuil (Online Resource 9). In January, we identified a trend of increasing RX5D in the future (2051–2080). In November, the ensemble indicated that the Northeast of Limpopo tends to get drier in the future. The evaluation of DD suggests increasing DD (online resource 11) in the Northeast of Limpopo, mostly in October and November. Also, it is shown decreasing in DD in the future in the southeast region, mainly in February. The months of October and March are the months with higher DD values (higher than 26 days). The highest LDP in the region in the baseline period is identified in October and March, while the lowest values are in December (online resource 12). The greatest future changes show increasing LDP in the Northeast region, mostly in SSP5-8.5 (2051-2080).

Regarding the SPI index (Fig. 3c), the South and Central regions of Limpopo tend to have more problems related



Fig. 3 Ensemble 30-year average of the index: a PRCPTOT, b LWP, and c SPI in the Limpopo province for historical simulation (baseline) and future scenario SSP5-8.5 (2021–2050, 2051–2080). Sites are represented with a black circle (Syferkuil), and a black triangle (Univen)

to droughts. In the future, the index indicates increases in droughts in October in the southern region. In November, a decrease in droughts in the northern region and an increase in the south of the region are expected. December is currently the month with the most problems concerning droughts. However, there are different trends for December according to different future scenarios. According to current climate conditions, January is not a month prone to drought. Still, it may become drier in the future, mainly in the southern region of Limpopo, as indicated by SSP1-2.6 and SSP3-7.0 (2051–2080).

We conclude, therefore, that both subregions are likely to have more severe drought conditions in the future than during the baseline period 1981–2010. Other studies, which evaluated different indexes, come to similar conclusions. For example, Gizaw and Gan (2017) used the Palmer drought severity index to analyze changes in droughts, and they concluded that most South African areas would shift to a drier climate in the 2050s and 2080s. The results agree with Schulze et al. (2001), who reported that in SA, which is already a water-stressed country, climate change is expected to increase the variability of rainfall events and amplify weather extremes.

To identify future changes in droughts frequency, we evaluated drought conditions (DC) based on the quantiles thresholds. We calculate the number of years in the future with DC and compare it to the current DC. Figure 4 indicates the number of years with DC in Univen and Syferkuil, according to the historical simulation and SSP's, in different 30-year time-slices. We can see that there are some local differences regarding the frequency of DC (historical and future) at the two sites.

In Univen, the worst DC tends to occur in October, with an increase of DC indicated by all evaluated indices. In the scenario SSP5-8.5 (2051–2081), PRCPTOT presented 19 years with DC, representing an increase of 16 years in the 30-year time-slice (around 53.3%). In the RX5D index, we observe an increase of 12 years, and DD presented an increase of 9 years. There is an agreement in drought patterns among the climate scenarios. There is a decrease in PRCPTOT, LWP, and RX5D and an increase in DD in November. The worst DC also occurs in SSP5-8.5 (2051–2080).

At Syferkuil, the worst future DC occurs in October, although with lower values than Univen. In SSP5-8.5 (2051–2080), results show an increase of 13 years with DC related to PRCPTOT in the 30-year time-slice, 9 years in the RX5D index, and 6 years in DD and LDP indices. In January, we identified a decrease in LWP and RX5D and increasing in DD. Although January is a month with an increasing rainfall trend in Syferkuil, there was also an increase in DC in all scenarios, according to some indices. The DD index shows an increase of DC from 3 years throughout historical simulations to 9 years in a future scenario and RX5D an increase from 3 to 7 years (in the worst-case-scenario).

We conclude that the Univen site represents the subregion with the most remarkable changes, increasing DC in the future. It was also the subregion that presented the worst DC in the historical period, which is a reason for concern, primarily due to the potential impacts of DC on maize production.

Impacts of climate change on maize

Water-limited yield was similar among sites (Fig. 5). However, the future projections for Univen indicate a reduction in yield, regardless of the climate scenario assessed. The highest reduction and lowest yields are found under the SSP5-8.5 (2051-2080) scenario. It is also noteworthy that for baseline yield simulations, the runs with an emergence date at day 288 resulted in the highest yield ($\sim 7.33 \text{ t.ha}^{-1}$), as this coincides with the crop with closed canopy being exposed to high global radiation levels with sufficient moisture in an optimum manner under the given baseline climate. However, in the scenario SSP5-8.5 (2051-2080), this start date led to the worst yield performance (~ 4.88 t.ha^{-1}), which is likely due to shifts in the rainfall season, as can be derived from negative changes in drought indices (Figs. 3 and 4) at the start of the rainy season under future conditions. In Fig. 4, we highlighted that the total amount of precipitation in October tends to decrease, regardless of the climate scenario, in both sites. In December and January, we expect an increase in future precipitation. Due to this future shift in the rainy season, a delay in the emergence date seen to be a good strategy to cope with climate changes and maintain reasonable yields. The lowest yield reduction in SSP5-8.5 is found in runs with an emergence date of 349 days, which can also be related to the smaller future changes in total precipitation in December (Figs. 3 and 4).

Mangani et al. (2018) evaluated two versions of the crop model CropSyst to simulate crop yield in SA and concluded that in climate change scenarios (2030 and 2050), a decrease in maize yield is expected due to the increase of drought severity. However, the understanding of the period when these droughts will be more severe is also important to create mitigation and adaptation measures under climate change scenarios. By comparing the simulated yields and their changes under future conditions with those of the drought indices (Fig. 5), we derive that in the future, drier conditions in October may strongly affect the yield of the Univen region, with the worst scenarios for early sowing

			U	nive	n						Sy							
	3	8	8	12	15	7	19	:	3	8	13	11	12	9	16	PRCPTOT		13
October	3	10	3	6	15	5	10	;	3	6	4	5	5	3	5	LWP		11 9 7
	3	10	7	10	11	7	15	:	3	8	9	7	9	5	12	RX5D		
	2	8	7	9	10	6	11	;	3	6	6	9	9	5	9	DD		
	3	6	6	8	7	7	8	:	3	5	5	4	3	6	9	LDP		5
	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	2	0	0	0	2	SPI		3
November	3	9	9	11	13	12	16		3	2	3	5	8	4	10	PRCPTOT		1
	2	2	2	7	7	5	6		3	6	4	5	5	3	5	LWP		-1
	3	4	4	12	10	9	12		3	1	2	4	8	4	9	RX5D		-3 -5 -7 -9
	2	4	1	6	5	4	8		3	1	2	2	4	3	3	DD		
	3	3	2	1	4	4	4		3	5	3	3	5	2	4	LDP		
	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		0	0	2	2	2	1	0	SPI		
December			F	6	0		4			0	0	4	0			PROPTOT		-11
	3	3	5	0	2	3	4		3	2	3	4	2	5	3		_	-13
	3	2	2	2	4	2	2		3	4	2	1	1	3	3	BX5D		
	3		2	4	5	2	2		3	4	4	5	3	5	4			
	3	5	6	4	4	3	5		3	3	5	6	5	10	5			
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		1	0	1	0	0	0	0	SPI		
January] 0		
	3	3	3	4	3	5	3	:	3	1	1	2	2	2	1	PRCPTOT		
	3	2	0	2	3	0	1		3	6	8	3	5	3	2	LWP		
	3	3	3	5	3	5	4		3	5	6	6	3	7	4	RX5D		
	3	7	2	5	4	4	4		3	8	9	9	8	9	9	DD		
	3	4	5	11	3	4	6		3	2	2	1	2	5	3	LDP		
February	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		2	1	2	1	0	0	0	SPI		
	3	3	4	5	2	3	3	:	3	5	3	6	5	7	2	PRCPTOT		
	3	8	7	5	6	5	4	2	2	3	5	4	5	5	3	LWP		
	3	3	4	3	1	3	2	:	3	4	3	4	3	3	2	RX5D		
	3	8	5	9	6	6	4	2	2	2	2	4	3	3	2	DD		
	3	1	2	5	2	5	2	:	3	4	1	2	2	2	4	LDP		
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		3	2	0	1	0	0	0	SPI		
March	3	2	1	4	7	4	5		3	4	1	4	6	4	1	PRCPTOT		
	3	5	2	6	7	3	4		3	5	3	3	6	2	0	LWP		
	3	2	4	4	11	7	6		3	5	1	2	5	5	2	RX5D		
	2	1	1	7	4	1	5		3	5	3	3	7	2	1	DD		
	3	5	3	4	2	3	5		3	3	2	4	2	3	2	LDP		
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0		1	1	0	0	0	0	1	SPI		
isto	iical .	2050	2080	2050	2080	2050	2.2080	istorical		2050	2080	2050	1-2080	2050	2080			
×.	P120,202.	-8128.2051	GP310,202	CR310.205	, P185,20	2585,2 ^C	b. ,	 	202.	0126.205	CP310,202	CR370.205	CP585,20	2. 0585,2	ંલ્			
5	Ś	ç. ç	ہ ر _د	0	57	5		5	ŝ	r q	<u>ر</u>	5	S.	కొ				

Fig. 4 Number of years with drought conditions (DC) in Univen and Syferkuil. Colors indicate the difference between future scenarios and historical simulation. Shades of red show drier conditions in the future compared to historical simulation, and blue shades show wetter conditions in the future

and the period 2051–2080 (scenarios SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5). A possible alternative could be to delay the sowing and emergence dates to November or December to reduce yield losses. Considering the SSP5-8.5 scenario (2051–2080) as an example, the yield values at Univen differ considerably depending on the crop emergence date: between 4.88 t.ha⁻¹ (DOY 288) and 5.41 (319) up to 5.55 (349). At Syferkuil, most yield simulations for future conditions also indicate reduced yield, with the worst scenarios in 2051–2080 (SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5). For the baseline period, the yield variability is smaller. We conclude that shifting emergence dates under future conditions can considerably impact yield at both sites significantly by taking into account shifts in seasonality and adjusting accordingly by later sowing to reduce potential yield losses.

The highest values of yield losses found in Syferkuil and Univen were in SSP5-8.5 (2051–2080). In Syferkuil, these losses were -15.5% (considering the EM 288), -18.6% (319), and -10.7% (349). In Univen, the yield losses were -50.3% (288), -31.2 (319), and -19.2 (349). The situations that could represent an increase were found in Syferkuil (SSP1-2.6), with a yield increase of 2.9% (2021–2050, EM 288) and 1.6% (2051–2080, EM 319).

The differences between simulated potential land waterlimited yields can serve as an indication of the degree of long-term average water-limitation and how that shifts under climate change scenarios and alternative sowing/emergence date. The simulations show that Univen has lower differences between potential yield and water-limited yield than Syferkuil, and these values do not have a significant relationship with emergence dates. In summary, these differences tend to decrease in Syferkuil and increase in Univen. Regarding the grain filling period, Univen presented fewer days than Syferkuil. This duration tends to decrease in both sites in the future, with the worst scenarios in 2051–2080 (SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5). The cycle duration is higher when EM is 288 in both sites, although the differences between EM are not high. The site Syferkuil has a higher cycle duration than Univen, although both areas indicate a decrease in cycle duration in future scenarios, mainly in SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 (2051-2080).

The occurrence of drought events in the future may affect yield and climate change adaptation, or more generally future management practices. Assessing the period in which droughts occur is essential as it can affect different maize growth stages, causing damages or sub-optimum growth conditions that call for specific adaptations. When maize is exposed to drought conditions during the vegetative stage, yield losses can reportedly range from 32% to as high as 92% (Atteya 2003). Other authors have found yield losses for the reproductive stage or early grain filling ranging from 63 to 87% (Kamara et al. 2003) and for the late grainfilling and ripening period from 79 to 81% (Monneveux et al. 2006). The occurrence of other agroclimatic extreme events also threatens food security as they may affect food crop production worldwide (see, e.g., Rötter et al. 2018). Mangani et al. (2019) used climate change scenarios and crop models to study potential climate change impacts and concluded that maize yield is expected to be reduced in the future (2051–2080) in SA. Similar results were reported by Cammarano et al. (2020) for commercial maize farming in the free state of SA. Masupha and Moeletsi (2018) used drought indicators to study how future droughts may limit maize production in SA and concluded that drought remains a threat to rainfed maize production in the Luvuvhu River catchment area.

Climate-induced changes in productivity due to droughts are already perceived by farmers in the Mopani district of the Limpopo Province. In her master thesis, May (2019) conducted a survey and concluded that most farmers from the four villages surveyed (Ndengeza, Makhushane, Mafarana, and Gabaza) who perceived changes in the climate over the past decade and increased frequency of extreme years also reported negative effects on their maize yields. The survey also confirmed that in Mafarana, Gabaza, and Ndengeza, for most farmers, October and November is the usual sowing period. In the drier village Makhushane, most farmers are sowing their maize later, in November and December.

Some improved agro-technologies (seasonal weather forecast-based sowing; more drought-tolerant maize cultivars) and management practices (combinations of sowing date and cultivar choice depending on the onset of rains) in the future could be utilized to minimize the impacts of droughts in future maize production. This would require still higher investments in climate information services and in breeding climate-resilient maize cultivars using advanced breeding tools (Rötter et al. 2015; Cairns et al. 2018; Hoffmann et al. 2018) and/or the judicious and site-specific choice of climate-smart interventions, such as cereal-legume intercropping and crop rotations (Swanepoel et al. 2018; Rapholo et al. 2019; Hoffmann et al. 2020).

Conclusions

We aimed to characterize drought patterns and to evaluate their spatiotemporal variability and potential impacts on maize production in the Limpopo province with a closer look at two different climatic subregions. Climate models and drought indicators were used to quantify droughts and



Fig. 5 Historical and future water-limited maize yield (t.ha - 1), yield gap (Yp-Ywl) (ton/ha), grain filling period (days), and growth duration (days), in Univen and Syferkuil, according to different emergence dates (15 October (DOY 288), 15 November (319), and 15 December

(349) days). The dotted grey lines indicate the median values, and the shade of grey indicates the maximum and minimum values of the historical simulation

changes in their frequency in the future. This was then linked to the quantification of yield impacts for different sowing/ crop emergence dates using the climate data in conjunction with the dynamic crop simulation model WOFOST.

The key messages of this research are as follows:

- Current drought conditions (DC): Compared to Syferkuil, Univen showed the driest conditions of PRCPTOT. October appears as the month with the worst drought conditions, considering PRCPTOT, LWP, and RX5D. In Syferkuil, October is also the month with the worst DC indicated by DD and LDP. In Univen, DD and LDP have the worst DC in March.
- Climate models performance: The estimation of drought indices with a model ensemble was better than those with individual models. The patterns of underestimation or overestimation depend on the index studied, the model evaluated, and the region.
- Historical and future drought patterns: The climate scenarios indicate small changes in the future for the PRCP-TOT index. Drought indices indicated that mainly in the scenario SSP5-8.5 (2051–2080), Univen and Syferkuil will present worse DC in the future.
- Historical and future frequency of droughts: The worst DC tends to occur in October, considering all the evaluated indices. Univen site was the site with the greatest future changes, with the increasing of DC.
- Drought's impacts on maize production: The yield tends to decline in the future considering all emergence dates. We conclude that future changes in the emergence date seem to impact yield in both sites significantly. A possible alternative is to delay the emergence date to November or December to reduce the yield losses. The grain filling period, as well as the cycle duration, tends to decrease in the future. The cycle duration is higher when EM is 288 in both sites.

Understanding historical drought patterns and the future perspective is important for the implementation of drought plans and mitigation measures, to promote sustainable management options, and to support crop ideotype design. Current and future drought conditions in October indicate that droughts will increase in this period, mostly in the mid-end century. The increase in future drought conditions will have a direct impact on maize production, representing a risk for food security in the region. The results found in this paper can contribute to specific measures to support improvement of maize production in SA, considering changes in future drought patterns and their effect on yield, grain filling, and cycle duration.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-022-02392-1. Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the farmers and SALLnet project partners in Limpopo for the information shared.

Author contribution The authors NCRF, RR, and GBM contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by NCRF. The manuscript was written by NCRF, and all authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. NCRF and GBM are grateful for the funding support from BARISTA (grant no 031B0811A), and RPR and WCDN are grateful for the received funding from SALLnet project (grant no 01LL1802A) via BMBF.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Abraha MG, Savage MJ (2006) Potential impacts of climate change on the grain yield of maize for the midlands of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Agr Ecosyst Environ 115(1–4):150–160
- Adams RM, Peck DE (2009). Effects of climate change on drought frequency: Potential impacts and mitigation opportunities. In: *Managing Water Resources in a Time of Global Change* (133– 146). Routledge.
- Adger WN, Agrawala S, Mirza MMQ et al. (2007). Assessment of adaptation practices, management options, constraints and capacity. Climate Change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability Contribution of Working Group II to the Forth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK and New York, USA.
- Agbiz (2016). Agribusiness Outlook for 2017: Available online: www.farmingportal.co.za/index.../ 9032- agribusiness-outlook-for-2017-south-africa
- Atteya AM (2003) Alteration of water relations and yield of corn genotypes in response to drought stress. Bulg J Plant Physiol 29(1–2):63–76
- Baloyi, R. T. (2011). Technical efficiency in maize production by small-scale farmers in Ga-Mothiba, Limpopo Province, South Africa (Doctoral dissertation, University of Limpopo (Turfloop Campus)).
- Bationo A, Waswa BS (2011). New challenges and opportunities for integrated soil fertility management in Africa. In Innovations as key to the green revolution in Africa (3–17). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Boogaard H, Wolf J, Supit I, Niemeyer S, van Ittersum M (2013) A regional implementation of WOFOST for calculating yield gaps of autumn-sown wheat across the European Union. Field Crop Res 143:130–142

- Boogaard HL, van Diepen CA, Rötter RP, Cabrera JM, Van Laar HH (1998). User's Guide for the WOFOST 7.1 Crop Growth Simulation Model and WOFOST Control Center 5.1, Techn. Doc. 52.
- Burls NJ, Blamey RC, Cash BA et al (2019) The Cape Town "Day Zero" drought and Hadley cell expansion. Npj Clim Atmos Sci 2:27. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-019-0084-6
- Cai W, Santoso A, Wang G, Yeh SW, An SI, Cobb KM, Wu L (2015) ENSO and greenhouse warming. Nat Clim Chang 5(9):849–859
- Cairns JE, Prasanna BM (2018) Developing and deploying climateresilient maize varieties in the developing world. Curr Opin Plant Biol 45:226–230
- Cairns JE, Hellin J, Sonder K, Araus JL, MacRobert JF, Thierfelder C, Prasanna BM (2013) Adapting maize production to climate change in sub-Saharan Africa. Food Security 5(3):345–360
- Cammarano D, Valdivia RO, Beletse YG, Durand W, Crespo O, Tesfuhuney WA, ... & Ruane AC (2020). Integrated assessment of climate change impacts on crop productivity and income of commercial maize farms in northeast South Africa. Food Security, 1–20.
- Cheng Z, Meng J, Wang Y (2016) Improving spring maize yield estimation at field scale by assimilating time-series HJ-1 CCD data into the WOFOST model using a new method with fast algorithms. Remote Sensing 8(4):303
- Conway D, Van Garderen EA, Deryng D, Dorling S, Krueger T, Landman W, Thurlow J (2015) Climate and southern Africa's waterenergy-food nexus. Nat Clim Chang 5(9):837–846
- Cook BI, Mankin JS, Marvel K, Williams AP, Smerdon JE, & Anchukaitis KJ (2020). Twenty-First Century Drought Projections in the CMIP6 Forcing Scenarios. Earth's Future, 8(6).
- Copenheaver CA, Crawford CJ, Fearer TM (2011) Age-specific responses to climate identified in the growth of Quercus alba. Trees 25(4):647–653
- Dai A. (2011). Characteristics and trends in various forms of the Palmer Drought Severity Index during 1900–2008. J Geophys Res: Atmospheres, 116(D12).
- de Wit A, Boogaard H, Fumagalli D, Janssen S, Knapen R, van Kraalingen D, van Diepen K (2019) 25 years of the WOFOST cropping systems model. Agric Syst 168:154–167
- Diaz HF, Hoerling MP, Eischeid JK (2001) ENSO variability, teleconnections and climate change. Int J Climatol: J Royal Meteorol Soc 21(15):1845–1862
- Dlamini L (2013). Modelling of standardised precipitation index using remote sensing for improved drought monitoring (Doctoral dissertation).
- Dobermann A, Dawe D, Rötter RP, Cassman KG (2000) Reversal of rice yield decline in a long-term continuous cropping experiment. Agron J 92:633–643
- Drumond A, Stojanovic M, Nieto R, Vicente-Serrano SM, Gimeno L (2019) Linking anomalous moisture transport and drought episodes in the IPCC reference regions. Bull Am Meteor Soc 100(8):1481–1498
- Edossa DC, Woyessa YE, Welderufael WA (2016) Spatiotemporal analysis of droughts using self-calibrating Palmer's Drought Severity Index in the central region of South Africa. Theoret Appl Climatol 126(3–4):643–657
- Ferreira NCR, Martins M, da Silva Tavares P, Chou SC, Monteiro A, Gomes L, Santana A (2021a) Assessment of crop risk due to climate change in Sao Tome and Principe. Reg Environ Change 21(1):1–12
- Ferreira NCR, & Chou SC (2018). Influence of soil texture type and initial soil moisture on the simulation of seasonal precipitation and extreme precipitation in Southeast Brazil. *Anuario do Instituto de Geociencias*, 41(3).
- Ferreira NC, Miranda JH, & Cooke R (2021b). Climate change and extreme events on drainage systems: numerical simulation of

soil water in corn crops in Illinois (USA). International Journal of Biometeorology, 1–13.

- Fischer EM, Knutti R (2014) Detection of spatially aggregated changes in temperature and precipitation extremes. Geophys Res Lett 41(2):547–554
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2019). Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database: Rome
- Gbetibouo GA, Hassan RM (2005) Measuring the economic impact of climate change on major South African field crops: a Ricardian approach. Global Planet Change 47(2–4):143–152
- Gizaw MS, Gan TY (2017) Impact of climate change and El Niño episodes on droughts in sub-Saharan Africa. Clim Dyn 49(1-2):665-682
- Hadiya NJ, Kumar N, Mote BM (2018) Use of WOFOST model in agriculture-a review. Agric Rev 39(3):234–240
- Hall JW, Tran M, Hickford AJ, Nicholls RJ (eds) (2016) The future of national infrastructure: a system-of-systems approach. Cambridge University Press
- Harrison PA, Holman IP, Berry PM (2015) Assessing cross-sectoral climate change impacts, vulnerability and adaptation: an introduction to the CLIMSAVE project
- Hassan, R. (2013). Drought management strategies in South Africa and the potential for economic policy instruments. In Drought in Arid and Semi-Arid Regions (375–390). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Hayes MJ, Svoboda MD, Wilhite DA, Vanyarkho OV (1999) Monitoring the 1996 drought using the standardized precipitation index. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 80:429–438
- Heim, R. R. (2000). Drought indices: a review. Drought: a global assessment, 159–167.
- Heim RR (2002) A review of twentieth-century drought indices used in the United States. Bull Am Meteor Soc 83(8):1149–1166
- Hoffmann MP, Odhiambo JJ, Koch M, Ayisi KK, Zhao G, Soler AS, Rötter RP (2018) Exploring adaptations of groundnut cropping to prevailing climate variability and extremes in Limpopo Province, South Africa. Field Crop Res 219:1–13
- Hoffmann MP, Swanepoel CM, Nelson WCD, Beukes DJ, van der Laan M, Hargreaves JNG, & Rötter RP (2020). Simulating mediumterm effects of cropping system diversification on soil fertility and crop productivity in southern Africa. European Journal of Agronomy, 126089.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report; Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Available online: https://www.ipcc.ch/ pdf/assessment-report/ar5/syr/SYR_AR5_FINAL_full_wcover. pdf (accessed on 22 December 2019).
- ISDA (2020). Innovative solutions for decision agriculture. Available online: https://www.isda-africa.com/isdasoil/
- Janowiak JE (1988) An investigation of interannual rainfall variability in Africa. J Clim 1(3):240–255
- Jones JW, Hoogenboom G, Porter CH, Boote KJ, Batchelor WD, Hunt LA, Ritchie JT (2003) The DSSAT cropping system model. Eur J Agron 18(3–4):235–265
- Kamara AY, Menkir A, Badu-Apraku B, Ibikunle O (2003) The influence of drought stress on growth, yield and yield components of selected maize genotypes. J Agric Sci 141(1):43
- Kassie BT, Van Ittersum MK, Hengsdijk H, Asseng S, Wolf J, Rötter RP (2014) Climate-induced yield variability and yield gaps of maize (Zea mays L.) in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. Field Crop Res 160:41–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2014.02.010
- Keating BA, Carberry PS, Hammer GL, Probert ME, Robertson MJ, Holzworth D, Smith CJ (2003) An overview of APSIM, a model designed for farming systems simulation. Eur J Agron 18(3–4):267–288

- Kornhuber K, Osprey S, Coumou D, Petri S, Petoukhov V, Rahmstorf S, Gray L (2019) Extreme weather events in early summer 2018 connected by a recurrent hemispheric wave-7 pattern. Environ Res Lett 14(5):054002
- Kruger AC, Shongwe S (2004) Temperature trends in South Africa: 1960–2003. Int J Climatol: J Royal Meteorol Soc 24(15):1929–1945
- Lange S (2019) Trend-preserving bias adjustment and statistical downscaling with ISIMIP3BASD (v1. 0). Geoscientific Model Development 12(7):3055–3070
- LEDET. (2016). Limpopo environmental outlook peport. Chapter 2: Land and Transformation for the Limpopo Province, South Africa.
- Liu, D. (2015). Sowing dates based on sowing date windows, rules and results from WOFOST for maize in Kenya and Ethiopia. MSc Thesis. Plant Production System Wageningen University.
- Lobell DB, Burke MB, Tebaldi C, Mastrandrea MD, Falcon WP, Naylor RL (2008) Prioritizing climate change adaptation and needs for food security in 2030. Science 319:607–610
- Lobell DB, Hammer GL, McLean G, Messina C, Roberts MJ, Schlenker W (2013) The critical role of extreme heat for maize production in the United States. Nat Clim Chang 3(5):497–501
- Ma H, Huang J, Zhu D, Liu J, Su W, Zhang C, Fan J (2013) Estimating regional winter wheat yield by assimilation of time series of HJ-1 CCD NDVI into WOFOST–ACRM model with Ensemble Kalman Filter. Math Comput Model 58(3–4):759–770
- Mahlalela PT, Blamey RC, Hart NCG, Reason CJC (2020) Drought in the Eastern Cape region of South Africa and trends in rainfall characteristics. Clim Dyn 55(9):2743–2759
- Manatsa D, Mukwada G, Siziba E, Chinyanganya T (2010) Analysis of multidimensional aspects of agricultural droughts in Zimbabwe using the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI). Theoret Appl Climatol 102(3):287–305
- Mangani R, Tesfamariam E, Bellocchi G, Hassen A (2018) Modelled impacts of extreme heat and drought on maize yield in South Africa. Crop Pasture Sci 69(7):703–716
- Mangani R, Tesfamariam EH, Engelbrecht CJ, Bellocchi G, Hassen A, Mangani T (2019) Potential impacts of extreme weather events in main maize (Zea mays L) producing areas of South Africa under rainfed conditions. Regional Environ Change 19(5):1441–1452
- Maponya P, Mpandeli S (2012) Climate change and agricultural production in South Africa: Impacts and adaptation options. J Agric Sci 4(10):48
- Maposa D, Seimela AM, Sigauke C, & Cochran JJ (2021). Modelling temperature extremes in the Limpopo province: bivariate timevarying threshold excess approach. Natural Hazards, 1–20.
- Martre P, Wallach D, Asseng S, Ewert F, Jones JW, Rötter RP, Hatfield JL (2015) Multimodel ensembles of wheat growth: many models are better than one. Glob Change Biol 21(2):911–925
- Masih I, Maskey S, Mussá FEF, Trambauer P (2014) A review of droughts on the African continent: a geospatial and long-term perspective. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 18(9):3635
- Masupha TE, Moeletsi ME (2018) Analysis of potential future droughts limiting maize production, in the Luvuvhu River catchment area, South Africa. Physics Chem Earth, Parts a/b/c 105:44–51
- Matimolane SW (2018). Impacts of climate variability and change on maize (Zea may) production in Makhuduthamaga Local Municipality, Limpopo Province, South Africa (Doctoral dissertation).
- May C (2019). Smallholder water management adaptations to climate variability and drought events in Limpopo (MSc Thesis). Georg-August University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Meza I, Rezaei EE, Siebert S, Ghazaryan G, Nouri H, Dubovyk O, Hagenlocher M (2021) Drought risk for agricultural systems

in South Africa: drivers, spatial patterns, and implications for drought risk management. Sci Total Environ 799:149505

- Monneveux P, Sanchez C, Beck D, Edmeades GO (2006) Drought tolerance improvement in tropical maize source populations: evidence of progress. Crop Sci 46(1):180–191
- Moravec V, Markonis Y, Rakovec O, Svoboda M, Trnka M, Kumar R, & Hanel M (2021). Europe under multi-year droughts: how severe was the 2014–2018 drought period?. Environmental Research Letters.
- Nicholson SE, Kim J (1997) The relationship of the El Niño-Southern oscillation to African rainfall. Int J Climatol: J Royal Meteorol Soc 17(2):117–135
- O'Neill BC, Kriegler E, Riahi K, Ebi KL, Hallegatte S, Carter TR, van Vuuren DP (2014) A new scenario framework for climate change research: the concept of shared socioeconomic pathways. Clim Change 122(3):387–400
- O'Neill BC, Carter TR, Ebi K, Harrison PA, Kemp-Benedict E, Kok K, ... & van Ruijven BJ (2020). Achievements and needs for the climate change scenario framework. Nature Climate Change, 1–11.
- Ogutu GE, Franssen WH, Supit I, Omondi P, Hutjes RW (2018) Probabilistic maize yield prediction over East Africa using dynamic ensemble seasonal climate forecasts. Agric for Meteorol 250:243–261
- Palosuo T, Kersebaum K-C, Angulo C, Hlavinka P, Moriondo M, Olesen JE, Patil RH, Ruget F, Rumbaur C, Takáč J, Trnka M, Bindi M, Çaldağ B, Ewert F, Ferrise R, Mirschel W, Şaylan L, Šiška B, Rötter RP (2011) Simulation of winter wheat yield and its variability in different climates of Europe: a comparison of eight crop growth models. Eur J Agron 35:103–114. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.eja.2011.05.001
- Park CE, Jeong SJ, Joshi M, Osborn TJ, Ho CH, Piao S, Kim BM (2018) Keeping global warming within 15 C constrains emergence of aridification. Nature Climate Change 8(1):70
- Perry SJ, McGregor S, Gupta AS, England MH (2017) Future changes to El Niño-Southern Oscillation temperature and precipitation teleconnections. Geophys Res Lett 44(20):10–608
- Phillips OL, Malhi Y, Higuchi N, Laurance WF, Núnez PV, Vásquez RM, Grace J (1998) Changes in the carbon balance of tropical forests: evidence from long-term plots. Science 282(5388):439–442
- Pomposi C, Funk C, Shukla S, Harrison L, Magadzire T (2018) Distinguishing southern Africa precipitation response by strength of El Niño events and implications for decision-making. Environ Res Lett 13(7):074015
- Porter JR, L Xie AJ, Challinor K, Cochrane SM, Howden MM, Iqbal DB Lobell Travasso MI (2014). Food security and food production systems. In: Climate Change 2014: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Field, C.B., V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L. White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 485–533.
- Power S, Delage F, Chung C, Kociuba G, Keay K (2013) Robust twenty-first-century projections of El Niño and related precipitation variability. Nature 502(7472):541–545
- Rapholo E, Odhiambo JJ, Nelson WC, Rötter RP, Ayisi K, Koch M, Hoffmann MP (2019) Maize–lablab intercropping is promising in supporting the sustainable intensification of smallholder cropping systems under high climate risk in southern Africa. Exp Agric 56(1):104–117

- Reason CJC, Jagadheesha D (2005) A model investigation of recent ENSO impacts over southern Africa. Meteorol Atmos Phys 89(1–4):181–205
- Recktenwald C (2019). Combining drought characterization and ecophysiological modelling (APSIM) to support management and ideotyping of maize for improved drought tolerance in Limpopo province (SA). M.Sc. Thesis. Georg-August-University, Germany (unpublished).
- Richard Y, Fauchereau N, Poccard I, Rouault M, Trzaska S (2001) 20th century droughts in southern Africa: spatial and temporal variability, teleconnections with oceanic and atmospheric conditions. Int J Climatol: J Royal Meteorol Soc 21(7):873–885
- Rötter RP, Van Keulen H (1997) Variations in yield response to fertilizer application in the tropics: II. Risks and opportunities for smallholders cultivating maize on Kenya's arable land. Agric Syst 53:69–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-521X(96)00037-6
- Rötter RP, Carter TR, Olesen JE, Porter JR (2011) Crop–climate models need an overhaul. Nat Clim Chang 1(4):175–177
- Rötter RP, Palosuo T, Kersebaum K-C, Angulo C, Bindi M, Ewert F, Ferrise R, Hlavinka P, Moriondo M, Olesen JE, Takáč J, Trnka M (2012) Simulation of spring barley yield in different climatic zones of Northern and Central Europe: a comparison of nine crop growthmodels. Field Crop Res 133:23–36
- Rötter RP, Tao F, Höhn JG, Palosuo T (2015) Use of crop simulation modelling to aid ideotype design of future cereal cultivars. J Exp Bot 66(12):3463–3476
- Rötter RP, Appiah M, Fichtler E, Kersebaum KC, Trnka M, Hoffmann MP (2018) Linking modelling and experimentation to better capture crop impacts of agroclimatic extremes— review. Field Crop Res 221:142–156
- Rötter RP, Scheiter S, Hoffman MP, Pfeiffer M, Nelson WCD, Ayisi K, Taylor P, Feil J-H, Bakhsh SY, Isselstein J, Linstaedter A, Behn K, Westphal C, Odhiambo J, Twine W, Grass I, Merante P, Bracho-Mujica G, Bringhenti T, Lamega S, Abdulai I, Lam QD, Anders M, Linden V, Weier S, Foord S, Erasmus B (2021) Modeling the multi-functionality of African savanna landscapes under global change. Land Degrad Dev 23(6):2077–2081. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3925
- Rouault M, Richard Y (2003) Intensity and spatial extension of drought in South Africa at different time scales. Water Sa 29(4):489–500
- Schulze R, Meigh J, Horan M (2001) Present and potential future vulnerability of eastern and southern Africa's hydrology and water resources: START Regional Syntheses. S Afr J Sci 97(3–4):150–160
- Swanepoel CM, Rötter RP, Van der Laan M, Annandale JG, Beukes DJ, du Preez CC, Hoffmann MP (2018) The benefits of conservation agriculture on soil organic carbon and yield in southern Africa are site-specific. Soil and Tillage Research 183:72–82

- Trambauer P, Maskey S, Werner M, Pappenberger F, Van Beek LPH, Uhlenbrook S (2014) Identification and simulation of spacetime variability of past hydrological drought events in the Limpopo River basin, southern Africa. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 18(8):2925–2942
- Trnka M, Hayes M, EkJurečka F, Anderson M, Brázdil R, Brown J, Feng S (2018) Priority questions in multidisciplinary drought research. Climate Research 75(3):241–260
- Trnka M, Feng S, Semenov MA, Olesen JE, Kersebaum KC, Rötter RP, Hlavinka P (2019) Mitigation efforts will not fully alleviate the increase in water scarcity occurrence probability in wheatproducing areas. Science Advances 5(9):eaau2406
- Ubisi NR (2016). Smallholder farmers' perceptions and adaptation to climate change interventions and support systems in Limpopo province, South Africa (Doctoral dissertation).
- Van Ittersum MK, Leffelaar PA, van Keulen H, Kropff MJ, Bastiaans L, Goudriaan J (2003) On approaches and applications of the Wageningen crop models. Eur J Agron 18(3–4):201–234
- Verschuur J, Li S, Wolski P et al (2021) Climate change as a driver of food insecurity in the 2007 Lesotho-South Africa drought. Sci Rep 11:3852. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83375-x
- Vicente-Serrano SM (2006) Differences in spatial patterns of drought on different time scales: an analysis of the Iberian Peninsula. Water Resour Manage 20(1):37–60
- Vicente-Serrano SM, Begueria S, Lopez-Moreno JI (2010) A multiscalar drought index sensitive to global warming: the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. J Clim 23:1696–1718
- Vogel C, Laing M, & Monnik K (2000). Drought in South Africa, with special reference to the 1980–94 period. Drought: a global assessment, 348–366.
- Waha K, Müller C, Rolinski S (2013) Separate and combined effects of temperature and precipitation change on maize yields in sub-Saharan Africa for mid-to late-21st century. Global Planet Change 106:1–12
- Wallach D, Mearns LO, Ruane AC, Rötter RP, Asseng S (2016) Lessons from climate modeling on the design and use of ensembles for crop modeling. Clim Change 139(3–4):551–564
- Walz Y, Min A, Dall K, Duguru M, Villagran de Leon J-C, Graw V, Dubovyk O, Sebesvari Z, Jordaan AJ, Post J (2020) Monitoring progress of the Sendai framework using a geospatial model: the example of people affected by agricultural droughts in Eastern Cape. South Africa Progress Disaster Sci 5:100062. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2019.100062
- Wolf J, Ouattara K, Supit I (2015) Sowing rules for estimating rainfed yield potential of sorghum and maize in Burkina Faso. Agric for Meteorol 214:208–218